

Case No. 115 of 2025

30.09.2025

Present : Shri Raj Kamal and Karan Khanuja, Counsels for Appellant.
: Shri Mukesh Kumar, Counsel alongwith Vijender Singh, Section Officer for Respondent, Excise Department.

1. Partly heard both the sides.
2. Both the parties filed various judgments in support of their contentions, taken on record.
3. Arguing the stay application, the appellant contended that the permission may be granted to configure the Appellant's brand names on E-abkari Portal to enable the appellant to apply for L-1 licence.
4. The official of the department contended that firstly a licence is required before registration of brand can be permitted on E-abkari Portal.
5. The Excise Department to bring the Officer who is fully conversant with the policies & processes of the department. The Excise Counsel may also explain on the next date of hearing how any adverse presumption on moral character can be made pending a conviction in the FIR.
6. Both the parties are directed to come prepared for arguments on the stay application before proceeding further.
7. Adj. to 03.11.2025 for arguments on stay application.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**