

Case No. 197, 198 & 199 of 2024

26.09.2025

Present : Shri Ashwini Kumar Mishra, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases.
: Shri Bipul Kumar Mishra, Counsel for R-1, Society in case no. 199/2024.
: Shri Bhola Singh, Counsel for R-2 in case no. 199/2024.
: Shri Rohan , Proxy counsel for RCS, along with Ms. Sushma Bisht ASO for Respondent RCS in all the cases.

1. Petitioner claimed that he is ready to pay his 1/4th share of loan amount as there are four sureties before the next date of hearing.
2. Respondent RCS is represented by Proxy Counsel as the main counsel is in personal difficulty and also contended that he has not received copy of the petition. Respondent is directed to lead the case diligently failing which the cost may be imposed on the next date of hearing. Petitioner is directed to supply the copy of the petition to the Respondent for filing their reply before the next date of hearing.
3. R-1, Bestway Cooperative (U) T/C Society contended that Petitioner did not approach the society for settlement. R-1 undertook to file copy of terms & conditions of the loan details of the amount recovered as yet, as directed on the last date of hearing.
4. Parties are advised to sincerely attempt an out of Court settlement, and apprise the outcome on the next date of hearing.
5. Adj. to 30.10.2025.

Suresh Lal
Vs
Delhi Nagrik Sehkari Bank Ltd.

26.09.2025

Present : Shri Ompal Basist Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Kumar, Counsel for R-1 Bank.

1. Counsel for Petitioner contended that R-1 (Bank) was directed to file terms & conditions that permit it to recover loan with such a high interest rate and also the penalty in addition thereon.
2. Counsel for R-1 Bank contended that he has already filed the documents i.e. copy of Section 49 of DCS Rules, 2007, Copy of Resolution No. 66 passed by the BOD of Bank dated 30.08.2006 and updated Master Circular of RBI dated 01.07.2014 and also raised issue of maintainability of the appeal filed under Section 112 (I)(K) of Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003.
3. Counsel for Petitioner has requested to withdraw the appeal. Request of the Petitioner is allowed.
4. Accordingly, the Revision Petition No. 364/2024 titled **Suresh Lal Vs Delhi Nagrik Sehkari Bank Ltd.** is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioner to approach the appropriate Forum.
5. No coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner during the period of sixty days to permit him to approach appropriate forum in case he so intends.
6. File be consigned to Record Room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

26.09.2025

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Naveen Bhardwaj, Counsel for RCS.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Counsel for Petitioner raised two points on the order of RCS directing Special Audit namely (i) no show cause notice was issued to the Managing Committee (ii) the order passed by the RCS on appointment of Special Audit is a non-speaking order.
3. Counsel for Respondent contended that the Managing Committee conveyed its 'No objection' to RCS in conducting the Special Audit vide letter dated 28.06.2023 issued by Society. Counsel of RCS also raised the issue of limitation. He added that there is no bar on number of complaints and there are serious financial irregularities that need to be checked, which if left unattended would hurt members interest significantly.
4. Both the parties are directed to file their brief written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, latest by 16.10.2025, whereafter order shall be on the basis of the documents available on record.
5. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 06.11.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

26.09.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Rajeshwer K. Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. Counsel for Petitioner requested for stay of illegal appointment of Respondent No. 3 as Returning Officer to conduct the election of the Managing Committee of the Society/R-2 and also for an appointment of Administrator under Section 37 of the DCS Act, 2003. Counsel for Petitioner further submitted Rule 33 of the DCS Rule, 2007 has not been complied with by the Returning Officer and their complaint in this regard has not disposed of by the RCS.
3. This Court is not inclined to interfere with the process of election since the terms of current Managing Committee ends on 15.10.2025 as admitted by the Petitioner. Petitioner is accordingly directed to appear before the office of RCS on Monday i.e. 29.09.2025.
4. RCS is accordingly directed to hear the Petitioner on day-to-day basis and pass a speaking order at an early date disposing of the complaint of the Petitioner.
5. Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested by the Counsel for Petitioner.
6. Issue dasti notice to the Respondents to appear and file the reply on the next date of hearing.
7. Adj. to 20.11.2025.