

Case No. 78 of 2025

25.08.2025

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-2, G.S.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The petitioner contended that the said village 'Samalkha' stands covered by the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013 and subsequently urbanized vide notification dated 20.11.2019 passed under Section 507 of the DMC Act, 1957. Accordingly, the impugned pending proceedings have become non-est.
3. The respondent, G.S. on the other hand, contended that the present revision petition is pre-mature as the said appeal before the Collector has not been decided yet and is also not maintainable.
4. Both the parties are directed to file their brief written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on the issue of maintainability latest by 03.09.2025, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
5. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on maintainability on 24.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 79 of 2025

25.08.2025

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-2, G.S.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The petitioner contended that the said village 'Samalkha' stands covered by the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013 and subsequently urbanized vide notification dated 20.11.2019 passed under Section 507 of the DMC Act, 1957. Accordingly, the impugned pending proceedings have become non-est.
3. The respondent, G.S. on the other hand, contended that the present revision petition is pre-mature as the said appeal before the Collector has not been decided yet and is also not maintainable.
4. Both the parties are directed to file their brief written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on the issue of maintainability latest by 03.09.2025, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
5. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on maintainability on 24.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 146, 147 of 2024 & 333 of 2023

25.08.2025

Present : Shri Gaurav, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner in all three cases.
: Shri B. K. Mishra, Counsel for R-2, Society in case no. 146/2024.
: Ms. Smita Srivastav, Proxy Counsel for R-3, SBI Bank in cases nos. 146 & 147/2024 and for R-4 in case no. 333/2023.

1. Heard the parties.
2. It is seen that since the filing of the present restoration application way back on 17.05.2024 after the dismissal of the original appeal on non-prosecution, only the proxy counsel has represented the appellant/ applicant. Today also only the proxy counsel is present despite the fact that on the last date of hearing i.e. 25.04.2025, final opportunity was given to the petitioner to come prepared to lead the arguments, which the present proxy counsel has yet again failed to do so. The proxy counsel is also not prepared to argue.
3. The respondent, SBI has already filed its reply.
4. The Counsel for the R-2, Society in case no. 146/2024 informed the Court that there is no execution proceeding pending as nothing remains due from the appellant and hence the present appeal/restoration application stands infructuous.
5. Issue notice to the remaining respondents for filing their written submissions latest by 04.09.2025
6. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments latest by 04.09.2025, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
7. Cases are reserved for pronouncement of orders on 25.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 246 of 2024

**Devendra Kumar Chadda
Vs.
Mohd. Asif**

25.08.2025

Present : Mr. Shivam Prakash, Counsel for Appellant.
: Mr. Faizan Asif, son of Respondent in person.

1. Appellant sought time to file rejoinder/ arguments. It is seen from the records that on the last date of hearing also i.e. 09.05.2025 as well as previous hearing on 07.03.2025, appellant sought adjournment to file rejoinder. Today also appellant again sought adjournment. It is clearly seen that the appellant has not been diligently pursuing his case and is not very keen to pursue the matter.
2. Accordingly, the appeal bearing case no. 246/2024 titled ***Devender Kumar Chadda Vs. Mohd. Asif*** is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 317 of 2024

**Mohan Lal
Vs.
Mohd. Asif**

25.08.2025

Present : Mr. Shivam Prakash, Counsel for Appellant.
: Mr. Faizan Asif, son of Respondent in person.

1. Appellant sought time to file rejoinder/ arguments. It is seen from the records that on the last date of hearing also i.e. 09.05.2025 as well as previous hearing on 07.03.2025, appellant sought adjournment to file rejoinder. Today also appellant again sought adjournment. It is clearly seen that the appellant has not been diligently pursuing his case and is not very keen to pursue the matter.
2. Accordingly, the appeal bearing case no. 317/2024 titled ***Mohan Lal Vs. Mohd. Asif*** is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 366 of 2024
Delhi Nagrik Sehkari Bank Ltd.
Vs.
Registrar Cooperative Societies & Ors.

25.08.2025

Present : Shri Bansi Lal Sharma, Supervisor for Petitioner,
Bank.
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the petitioner.
2. Petitioner contended that this revision petition is against the impugned order dated 04.12.2024 regarding demand of higher audit fees passed by R-2, RCS which is contrary to circular dt. 03.03.2010 as mentioned in the impugned order. The petitioner, however, could not produce any documentary proof of having raised the issue of higher audit fee before the RCS.
3. It is seen that on the last date of hearing i.e. 25.04.2025, R-1, RCS was directed to clarify as to whether the higher Audit fee was actually got approved by the Government in terms of Rule 81(1) of the DCS Rules, 2007. Today, RCS is absent.
4. Accordingly, the present case bearing revision petition no. 366/2024 titled ***Delhi Nagrik Sehkari Bank Ltd. Vs. Registrar Cooperative Societies & Ors.*** is remanded to the RCS with the direction to hear the petitioner on the specific issue mentioned above and pass a speaking order.
5. The present Revision petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the above.
6. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi