

Case No. 69 of 2025

**M/s Rehmani Nursing Home
Vs
The Director General of Health Services**

09.09.2025

Present : Ms. Taulia Rehman, Co-Counsel Counsel for
Petitioner.
: None for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Petitioner is represented by a Co-Counsel Counsel today.
2. Despite giving final opportunity to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case on 27.08.2025, Co-Counsel appeared on behalf of the Petitioner and not in position to argue the matter. It is clear that the Petitioner is not very keen to pursue the matter. Accordingly, the Revision Petition bearing No. 69/2025 is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 359 of 2024

09.09.2025

Present : Mr. Atul Chauhan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
: Mr. Jaffar, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.

1. Respondent, RCS failed to file reply despite opportunity being given on the last date of hearing i.e. 23.05.2025.
2. Respondent, RCS is given one more opportunity to file reply with an advance copy to the Petitioner latest by 16.10.2025.
3. The cost of Rs. 500/- is imposed on Respondent, RCS which is to be paid to the Petitioner and receipt of the same to be filed in the Court well in advance.
4. Adj. to 07.11.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 98 of 2025

**Yogesh Kumar
Vs
SDM, Sarita Vihar & Anr.**

09.09.2025

Present : Shri Anuj Kumar Garg, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Proxy Counsel for R-2 Gram Sabha Tajpur.

1. Heard the Parties.
2. Counsel for Petitioner contended that the present revision petition has been filed under Section 187 of DLR Act, 1954 challenging the proceedings/notice dated 09.04.2025 passed by the SDM (Sarita Vihar) and Notice was issued to the another party by the SDM.
3. The reply of R-2 Gram Sabha, Tajpur is already on record.
4. It is seen from the records that the said village 'Tajpur' stands urbanised vide Notification dated 20.11.2019 whereafter the revenue authorities ceased to have jurisdiction in view of the various judgments of the Hon'ble High Court as well as the judgement dated 14th March, 2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled "Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs. Narain Singh and Others".
5. Accordingly, the case bearing Revision Petition No. 98/2025 titled Yogesh Kumar Vs. SDM, Sarita Vihar & Anr. is remanded back to the DM (South-East) with a direction to hear the parties and pass a speaking order, within 60 days from today, keeping in view the aforesaid facts.
6. The case is disposed of in terms of above.
7. The copy of this order be given dasti as requested by the Proxy Counsel for R-2, Gram Sabha.
8. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 29 of 2025

09.09.2025

Present : Group Captain Subrata Rao, Petitioner in person.
: Shri Shashi Bhushan, Counsel for R-1.
: Ms.Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-2.

1. The Petitioner contended that he had raised complaints in the RCS office regarding the mis-appropriation of funds in the society. Thereafter, based on the complaint of the Applicant, an order under Section 61 of the DCS Act, 2003 appointing Pralad Singh, Inspecting Officer, was issued by R-2, RCS on 28.02.2024 and the same has not been challenged by the Society in any of the court of law. The Society had some issues with the previous Managing Committee in which the Petitioner was not a part of it but without hearing the previous M.C, this court has combined the case of Petitioner with the case of previous M.C.
2. The Petitioner further contended that the inspection has already been conducted, but the grievance of the Petitioner is not redressed till date despite making complaints since 2022.
3. R-1, Society submitted that re-audit of the society for year 2019-2023 was conducted. The report of the said re-audit has already been submitted which is delayed to 8-9 months by RCS. R-1 also submitted that there is no case against the Petitioner.
4. The predecessor Financial Commissioner had remanded the case (bearing No.90/2024) back to RCS with directions to consider the prayer of the Petitioner to have the inspection w.e.f. 01.04.2019 within a time frame of not more than three months for such an inspection to be carried out, so that the matter can be laid to rest at the earliest.
5. The Petitioner filed present contempt petition (bearing No.29/2025) and prayed to initiate contempt proceedings against the R-1 for deliberately and wilful misinterpreting

the order dated 16.05.2024 (in case No.90/2024) passed by the predecessor of this court.

6. R-2, RCS is directed to file its reply with an advance copy to the Petitioner by 26.09.2025.
7. Adj. to 10.10.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 320 of 2024

09.09.2025

Present : Mr.A.H. Khan, Counsel for Appellants.
: None for Respondents.

1. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions in brief within one week whereafter, orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
2. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 13.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 13 of 2025

09.09.2025

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Vikas Kumar, Sr. Asst. for R-1, RCS.

1. RCS failed to file reply despite opportunity being given on the last two hearings i.e. 04.04.2025 and 22.05.2025. RCS is given final opportunity to file reply/written submissions by 26.09.2025 with an advance copy to the Petitioner whereafter the orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
2. Reply of R-3 and R-4 is already on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 60 of 2025

09.09.2025

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Sushil Kumar, Sr. Asstt. for Respondent, RCS.

1. Representative for Respondent, RCS sought time as the Counsel is busy. Allowed with a cost of Rs. 500/- which is to be paid to the Petitioner before the next date of hearing.
2. RCS failed to file reply despite opportunity was being given on the last two hearings i.e. 24.04.2025 and 23.05.2025. Final opportunity is given to the RCS to file the same by 26.09.2025 with an advance copy to the petitioner as well as submit a receipt of the same in this court.
3. Adj. to 09.10.2025 for reply of RCS.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 77 of 2025

09.09.2025

Present : Shri Anil Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Vishwas
 Gautam, Sr. Asst. for R-1, RCS.

1. Partly heard the arguments.
2. RCS undertook to file some documentary proof in support of their contentions. Allowed.
3. RCS is given final opportunity to file reply by 26.09.2025 with an advance copy to the petitioner as well as file receipt of the same in this Court.
4. Adj. to 03.10.2025 for further arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 182 of 2025

09.09.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Anil Kumar, Ld. Counsel for Petitioner, Bank.

5. Petitioner filed brief synopsis, taken on record.
6. Petitioner is contended that the present petition filed against the Inspection Officer dt. 03.07.2025 under Section 61 of the DCS Act, 2003 and also against the Order dt. 04.08.2025 passed by the Ld. Asst. Registrar for appointing the Inquiry Officer without following the due process. Petitioner's prayer is that the Inspection report as well as of impugned order are bad in the eyes of law and are liable to be set-aside.
7. The inquiry may proceed but no coercive action to be taken against the petitioner till the next date of hearing.
8. Adj. to 03.10.2025 for further arguments.

**Financial Commissioner,
Delhi**