

Case No. 93 & 94 of 2025

03.09.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Prateek Vaish, Counsel along with L.G. Bhardwaj, CAE for Contempt Applicant/R-2.

: Shri B.S. Randhawa, Counsel for R-4 in case number 94/2025.

1. R-4 in case no. 94/2025 appeared and submitted that he has not received the copy of petition.
2. Applicant/ R-2 is directed to supply the copy of petition to R-4 for filing of reply before the next date of hearing.
3. RCS is absent today despite notice on the last date of hearing. Issue notice to Assistant Collector through Registrar of Cooperative Societies as to why the Assistant Collector should not be declared in Contempt of Court proceedings and necessary action taken. Assistant Collector to appear in person on the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 03.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 114 of 2025

03.09.2025

Present : Shri Vijay Singh, Proxy Counsel for Appellant.

: Shri Hemant Pathak, Counsel for R-1.

(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

1. Appellant is represented by proxy counsel today who sought adjournment. Appellant is directed to appear with main counsel and lead the case effectively on the next date of hearing.
2. Counsel for R-1 has submitted that he has recently been engaged in the present appeal and sought time to lead the case on the next date of hearing.
3. Appellant contended that he intend to settle the matter and sought time to approach the Society. Allowed. He is directed to file the details of the loan taken, receipts of payment made by him and the status of settlement, if any, on the next date of hearing. R-1 to clarify the basis of fixing the rate of interest and the payments received as yet from the Petitioner.
4. Adj. to 03.10.2025.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 117 of 2024

03.09.2025

Present : Mr.Piyush Chaudhary, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Mr. Mohd. Amin Chaudhary, Counsel for
 Respondent, F&S Department.

1. It is seen from the records that on the previous hearing on 07.08.2025, the Respondent, F&S Department was inadvertently referred as DGHS in attendance and the same stands corrected suo motto.
2. The Counsel for the Petitioner informed that he has filed replication.
3. The Counsel for the Petitioner was asked the specific grounds on which he want review of this court's order dated 05.10.2023, which he failed to explain, except that he was not heard.
4. The Counsel for Respondents, F&S Department informed that they have already filed reply.
5. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments in brief latest by one week from today, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
6. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 06.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case Nos. 109 and 110 of 2025

**Manjit Kaur Gill
Vs.
Gaon Sabha (Ghevra)**

03.09.2025

Present : Shri Bhuvan Tomar, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent, G.S. in both cases.

7. Heard the parties.
8. Counsel for Petitioner contended that he has invoked the revisional powers of this Court u/s 187 for quashing the pending proceedings before the RA/SDM (Kanjhawala) on the ground that Village 'Ghevra' stood urbanized on 16.05.2017 whereafter the revenue authorities cease to have jurisdiction in wake of various judgments from the Hon'ble Apex Court as well as the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi especially the judgment dated 14th March, 2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled "**Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs. Narain Singh and Others**".
9. Counsel for Respondent, G.S. contended that the present petition is premature as the proceedings are still pending before the R.A./SDM (Kanjhawala) and no decision/order has yet been passed.
10. It is seen from the records as well as contentions raised by both the parties that the said village 'Ghevra' has been urbanized vide notification dated 16.05.2017 passed under Section 507(a) of the DMC Act, 1957. Now, it is a settled law that once a notification u/s 507(a) of the DMC Act, 1957 has been issued, the area stands urbanized and the revenue authorities cease to have jurisdiction in terms of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in "**Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs. Narain Singh and Others**". The relevant para is reproduced below.

“36. After harmonizing the provisions of the Act, 1954 and Act 1957, we are of the considered view that once a notification has been published in exercise of power under Section 507(a) of the Act, 1957, the provisions of the Act, 1954 cease to apply. In sequel thereto, the proceedings pending under the Act, 1954 become non est and loses its legal significance.”

11. In the light of above, both the cases bearing nos.109/2025 and 110/2025 titled **Manjit Kaur Gill vs. Gaon Sabha (Ghevra)** are remanded back to the RA/SDM (Kanjhawala) with a direction to hear the parties and pass a speaking order within a period of one month from today keeping in view the fact that the said village 'Ghevra' stands covered by the urbanization notification dt. 16.05.2017.
12. Both the cases are disposed of in terms of above.
13. Files be consigned to record room after completion.

(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 111 of 2025

03.09.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Ajit Kumar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
G.S. Savda.

(Filed BTF)

1. None appeared for the petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing on the issue of maintainability failing which, the matter may be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Counsel for Respondent, G.S. informed that he has been recently engaged and sought time. He is directed to come prepared for arguments on the issue of maintainability.
3. Adj. to 01.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Later on, Shri V. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner appeared and requested to mark his presence and noted the next date of hearing. Allowed

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 112 of 2025

03.09.2025

Present : Shri Sri Om, Counsel for Petitioners.
 : Ms. Dipanshi, Proxy Counsel for R-2 and R-3.

1. Counsel for Petitioners filed proof of service of notice which is taken on record.
2. Proxy Counsel for R-2 and R-3 filed reply with a copy to the petitioner, taken on record and sought adjournment.
3. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to come prepared for arguments on the issue of maintainability on the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 24.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**