

**Case No. 31 of 2025**

02.09.2025

Present : Ms. Rachna Dalal, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is again represented by proxy counsel, and seeks time to appear with main counsel. As a final opportunity, the Petitioner is directed to come prepared to argue on the issue of maintainability on the next date of hearing.
2. Respondent, C.O. is again absent today despite a last opportunity. Issue notice for the same through the Deputy Commissioner from Court. The C.O. will also explain why costs should not be imposed on him.
3. Adj. to 17.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 53 of 2025**

02.09.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Bhuvan Tomar, Counsel for R-1.

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 08.10.2021 passed by RA/SDM, Alipur wherein Petitioner was neither impleaded as party nor served any notice and said orders were passed in absence of Petitioner. Therefore, Petitioner seeks to quash the said impugned order.
2. Heard both the parties. Both parties are directed to file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions by 10.09.2025, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of order on 08.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

## **Case No. 107 of 2024**

02.09.2025

Present : Mr. M.Qayam-ud-din alongwith Ms. Unzila Fatima,  
                  Counsels for Petitioner.  
          : None for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner was aggrieved and filed Revision Petition under Section 116 of the DCS Act, 2003 for setting aside the entire execution proceedings including the illegal and perverse notice of hearing dated 20.12.2022 arising out of non-executable and infructuous Award dated 10.08.2016 passed by Arbitrator.
2. The Hon'ble High Court vide its order dated 29.05.2015 passed in WPC No.1764/2014 upheld that R-3 was a member of Petitioner Society and was expelled vide resolution dated 17.07.2011 and R-1 RCS has not passed the final order either approving the expulsion or rejecting the proposal for expulsion within a period of 180 days in terms of Section 86(2) & (3) of the Act.
3. During the courts proceedings, Petitioner submitted that the copy of the replies of the Respondents has not been received by the Petitioner. The Petitioner also submitted that the society filed an Appeal on 19.09.2016 before the Delhi Cooperative Tribunal regarding expulsion of R-3 on the basis of resolution of the society dated 17.07.2011. Subsequently, the DCT vide order dated 16.08.2018 dismissed the appeal.
4. R-1, RCS is directed to be represented appropriately and come prepared with reply on the next date of hearing failing which cost may be imposed. R-1, RCS

to file reply before this court with advance copy to the Petitioner by 15.09.2025.

5. Adj. to 03.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

## **Case No. 51 of 2023**

02.09.2025

Present : Mr. Shahrukh Inam, Counsel for Appellant.  
: None for Respondents.

1. The Appellant filed appeal under Section 20 read with Section 30 of the Slum Area (Improvement & Clearance) Act, 1956 against the order dated 31.01.2019 passed by Competent Authority, DUSIB.
2. The Applicant Satish Kumar Oswal filed application under Section 19(1)(a) of the Slum Areas Act, 1956 before Competent Authority regarding permission to initiate eviction proceedings against respondent in respect of one shop in premises No.341-344, Pan Mandi, Sadar Bazar, Delhi. The Competent Authority, DUSIB vide order dated 31.01.2019 allowed the application and granted the permission to the applicant to initiate eviction proceedings against Respondent. Against this order, Appellant filed appeal (bearing No.54/2019) before this court but the said appeal was dismissed by this court on 14.10.2021 for non-pursuance. Aggrieved by this order, the Appellant filed restoration appeal (bearing No.169/2021) and the same was also dismissed by this court on 10.02.2023 for want of prosecution. The Applicant again filed present restoration application (bearing No.51/2023).
3. The Appellant stated that no relationship was established between tenant and landlord during the hearing before the Competent Authority and Respondent (Appellant herein) contented that the applicant (namely Satish Kumar Oswal) has shown the premises No.340 as 341 and sought the

permission for eviction from Property No.340 and not from 341.

4. The Appellant is directed to come prepared with site plan of suit property from the Competent Authority, also clearly showing therein the ownership, on the next date of hearing.
5. Issue notice to the Respondents to appear and argue their case. The Respondents are given final opportunity to defend their case failing which ex-parte decision may be taken in the matter on the next date of hearing.
6. Adj. to 07.10.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 32 of 2025**

02.09.2025

Present : Shri V. K. Gupta, Petitioner in person.  
: Shri Sameer Shandilya, Sr. Asstt. for R-1, RCS.  
: Shri Pawan Kumar Kakkad, President for R-2, Society.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their brief written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, latest by 16.09.2025, whereafter order shall be pronounced.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 53 of 2025**

02.09.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Bhuvan Tomar, Counsel for R-1.

1. The present petition was filed under Section 42 of the East Punjab Holding (Consolidation & Prevention of Fragmentation) Act, 1948 seeking setting aside of the order dated 08.10.2021 passed by Respondent No. 2 on the ground that it is without jurisdiction and without impleadment of the petitioner.
2. Both the parties were heard. Their written submissions are on record.
3. Vide the impugned order dated 08.10.2021, the RA/SDM (Alipur) set-aside the order dated 07.01.2019 passed by the Consolidation Officer vide Resolution No. 355 qua the appellant Smt. Alka Ban (Pg. 32)
4. Keeping in view that the area stands covered by the urbanization notification dt. 16.05.2017 after which the revenue authorities cease to have jurisdiction in wake of various judgments from the Hon'ble Apex Court as well as the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi considerably the judgment dated 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled "**Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs. Narain Singh and Others**".
5. Accordingly, the case bearing no. 53/2025 titled **Shri Sushil Kumar Aggarwal vs. Smt. Alka Bansal & Anr.** is remanded back to the Ra/SDM (Alipur).
6. Pronounced in the open court on 02.09.2025.
7. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 345 of 2024**

02.09.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri P. N. Mishra, Counsel alongwith Shri Ashok,  
FSO for Respondents, F&S Department.

1. Counsel for Respondents, F&S Department filed reply which is taken on record with the direction to supply a copy to the petitioner. Proof of the same is to be submitted in this Court within one week. Respondents is further directed to come prepared for arguments on the next date of hearing.
2. None appeared for the petitioner. Issue one final notice to the petitioner with the direction to come prepared for the arguments on the next date of hearing failing which, the matter may be dismissed for non-pursuance.
3. Adj. to 15.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

## **Case No. 176 of 2025**

02.09.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Harish Kumar Mehra, Counsel for Petitioner, Society.

1. The case was mentioned.
2. R-2 was expelled from membership of said society by a resolution passed by society in its meeting held on 11.01.2025 on the basis of fictitious certificate of payments which was issued on 27.08.2018 by him as President.
3. The Petitioner, Society stated that the said expulsion was required to be approved by R-1 within a period of 180 days from the date of its submission on 23.01.2025 and which stood expired on 22.07.2025. The Petitioner prayed for quashing the notice dated 28.07.2025 issued under Section 86 of DCS Act, 2003 and for setting aside the order dated 11.08.2025 passed by R-1 in contravention of Section 86 (3) & (4) and in violation of Rule 99(3) & (4) of DCS Rules, 2007 as the R-1 has become non-functious after 22.07.2025.
4. Issue notice dasti to R-1, RCS through Counsel for Petitioner on filing of PF by tomorrow. Proof of the dasti notice to be submitted before this court by 04.09.2025.
5. Adj. to 11.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**