

Case No. 170 of 2025

22.08.2025

Present : Shri M.K. Verma, (Chief Convenor) alongwith Shri K.K. Malhotra and Shri Mohit Verma, Counsels for Appellants.

: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri C.S. Gupta, Counsel for R-3, R-4 & R-7.
: Shri Lalit Kumar, Inspector, R-8 (DIU Cell) Delhi Police.

1. Counsel for Respondent No.3, 4 & 7 objected to the locus of the Petitioner and contended that the ex-Administrator cannot file the case on behalf of the Society when the new Administrator is already functioning.
2. The Respondents also objected to the maintainability of the appeal contending that this court has no jurisdiction to hear appeal in matters related to elections and also informed that the Hon'ble DCT is already seized of the matter.
3. Petitioner has not supplied the copy of petition to Respondents till date. Petitioner is directed to supply copy of petition to all the Respondents henceforth, latest by 29.08.2025 and submit proof of serving the copy of petition on the next date of hearing.
4. It is clarified that the directions given in Para No.3 of the order sheet on last date i.e. on 13.08.2025, were to the new Administrator who was directed to ensure that there is no delay in the release of payments of salary of the employees, security staff, electricity & water charges.
5. Adj. to 25.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 133 of 2024

22.08.2025

Present : Shri Sushil Kumar alongwith Shri Prashant Singh,
Counsel for Petitioners.
: None for Respondents.

1. Since the said village Bakoli is covered under L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and as per various rulings of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, revenue laws do not apply in such cases.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their brief written submissions alongwith chronology of events and citations, if any, in support of their averments by 03.09.2025, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on the issue of maintainability on 24.09.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 188 of 2024

22.08.2025

Present : Shri V.C. Bharti, Counsel alongwith Shri Rajinder Gulati, Review Applicant in person.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Jaspreet Singh, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. Reply of R-2, Society is already on record.
2. Review Applicant sought time to file rejoinder.
Allowed with a direction to supply advance copy to Respondents within next 15 days.
3. R-1, RCS is also directed to file reply on or before 15.09.2025 with an advance copy to the Review Applicant and to R-2.
4. Adj. to 10.10.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 221 of 2024

22.08.2025

Present : Shri Sabhay Chaudhary, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Vijay Kumar, AR for R-2, Society.

1. Petitioner has not yet supplied a copy of the petition to the Respondents. Accordingly, Petitioner is directed to supply copy of petition to all the Respondents and submit a copy of proof of serving the petition on the next date of hearing.
2. Petitioner is also directed to file rejoinder, if any, with advance copy to the Respondents before the next date of hearing.
3. Reply of R-2, Society is already on record.
4. R-1, RCS is directed to file reply on all the specific points raised by the Petitioner in his petition latest by 12.09.2025
5. Adj. to 10.10.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 55 of 2025

22.08.2025

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioners.
: Shri Vinod Sharma, Proxy Counsel for R-1, T/C Society.

1. The Proxy Counsel for R-1 appeared today. Final opportunity is given to R-1 to represent itself appropriately on the next date of hearing.
2. Issue notice to R-4, RCS to appear and defend the case alongwith a certified copy of the trial court records pertaining to this matter on the next date of hearing.
3. Issue notices to other Respondents to appear and defend the case.
4. As requested by the Counsel for Petitioners, a copy of this order be given dasti.
5. Adj. to 25.09.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 289 of 2024

**Rajinder Kumar
Vs.
New Vikas Co-op T&C Society Ltd. & Ors.**

22.08.2025

Present : Mr.Ajmal Farooqui, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Respondents are absent.
3. As per specific contention of the Petitioner that he is ready and willing to settle the loan amount, the case is remanded back to the RCS with the directions to hear the parties on the issue and pass a speaking order specifically mentioning that the pension of the petitioner be not deducted (in all recovery cases) beyond a total of 50% of the pension amount. The order may also clarify how the deducted pension amount would be apportioned among various lenders from which the Petitioner took loan.
4. The revision petition No.289/2024 is accordingly disposed of in terms of the above.
5. As requested by the Counsel for Petitioner, copy of this order be given dasti.
6. The file be consigned to the record room after completion.

**(PRASHANT GOYAL)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**