

Case No. 78 of 2025

15.05.2025

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-2, G.S.

1. R-2, G.S. filed reply which is taken on record with a copy to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. for final arguments to 15.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 79 of 2025

15.05.2025

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-2, G.S.

1. R-2, G.S. filed reply which is taken on record with a copy to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. for final arguments to 15.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 82 of 2025

15.05.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
G.S.

1. Respondent, G.S. is directed to file reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 15.07.2025 for reply/arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 83 of 2025

15.05.2025

Present : Shri Sri Om, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioner sought time to have the notices served on the respondents and confirm the same to the Court on the next date of hearing. Allowed.
2. Notices be given dasti as requested by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner.
3. Adj. to 17.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 57 of 2010

15.05.2025

Present : Shri Piyush Goyal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Abhinav Singh, Counsel for R-3, DCHFC.

1. Petitioner sought time to seek instructions on the objection raised by the Respondent, DCHFC that the matter is effectively under the consideration of the Hon'ble Apex Court and till then, this Court should not hear it.
2. On this issue, the matter shall be first decided on the next date of hearing before proceeding further. It is recorded that written submissions of both the parties are already on record.
3. Adj. to 08.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 188 of 2024

15.05.2025

Present : Shri V. C. Bharti, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Shivam Bhardwaj, Proxy Counsel for R-1,
RCS.
: Shri Gurvachan Singh, Counsel for R-2.

1. R-1, RCS could not file reply and sought time again.
Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. The Petitioner supplied the copy of the petition again to the R-1, RCS.
3. It is further directed that the R-1, RCS shall provide a copy of the reply to the petitioner at least one week in advance before the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. for reply of R-1, RCS to 11.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 105 of 2025

15.05.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Rajiv Vig, Ld.Counsel for Petitioner, Society.

1. The matter was mentioned although the original revision petition is coming up on 15.07.2025.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned orders dated 23.04.2025 which direct the present Managing Committee to convene Special General Body Meeting in terms of the Section 31 of DCS Act, 2003 and Rule 46(3) & (4) of DCS Rules, 2007 within 30 days of the receipt of the impugned orders i.e. within month of May, 2025. The specific grievance of the petitioner is that the precondition of such an order/direction from the Respondent, RCS has been violated as per the procedure given under Rule 46(3) & (4) of DCS Rule, 2007, since there has been no requisition made to the present Managing Committee for convening a SGBM in terms of law.
3. The petitioner is further aggrieved that the petitioner has not been given any opportunity to present its case before the Respondent, RCS. The petitioner also has not been provided a copy of the list of 101 members of the society who have purportedly requested for convening of the SGBM, despite requesting the RCS formally on two occasions dated 28.04.2025 & 02.05.2025. The petitioner is further aggrieved that the present Managing Committee has come into existence after a free and fair election conducted by the Returning Officer on 09.02.2025 only and is settling down when such orders have been made passed at its back.
4. The petitioner has specifically prayed for stay of the implementation of the impugned orders in view of the above, till the next date of hearing, so that the case of the petitioner can be appreciated by this Court in the presence of RCS.

5. In view of the factual matrix as put forth by the petitioner, it is in the interest of justice that the petitioner is protected by this Court till the pendency of these proceedings qua the impugned orders. Therefore, no coercive action be taken against the petitioner, society till the matter is taken up for hearing in this Court.
6. As requested by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner, the copy of this order be given dasti.
7. To come up on 15.07.2025 as already scheduled.

**Financial Commissioner,
Delhi**