

Case No. 62 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vinod Sharma, Proxy Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Surender Kumar, Representative for R-3,
Suvidha, CGHS Society.

1. R-2 filed the reply and R-3 sought time to file the reply, allowed as a final opportunity. Both the Respondents to provide a copy of the reply to the Petitioner before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 10.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 65 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Suresh Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Charlie Dagar, Reader for R-5, RA/SDM.

1. The R-5, SDM/RA filed the reply and a copy is supplied to the Petitioner.
2. The matter is fixed for final arguments.
3. Adj. to 08.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 68 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
G.S.

1. Case is passed over.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case is again taken up at 11.40 p.m.

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
G.S.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner despite the matter being called twice. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 311 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Nagendra Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner filed tracking report of the notices served on Respondents. The matter already stands restored.
2. The Respondents are absent despite notice, final opportunity is given to Respondents to appear. Issue notices for same.
3. Adj. to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 69 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Case is passed over.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case is again taken up at 11.40 p.m.

Present : Ms. Tualia Rehman, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is given final opportunity to have the notices served and confirm the same to the Court on the next date of hearing so that the matter can proceed.
2. Adj. to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 326 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Sarthak Tagra, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner sought permission to have the notices served through publication since the Petitioner is not aware about the present address of the Respondent
- No.2. Allowed with direction to confirm the same to the Court.
2. The matter is fixed for further hearing on restoration.
3. Adj. to 10.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 28 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Vijay Mishra, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for R-1.

1. The stay application filed by the Petitioner is not allowed, since the Petitioner was also a party to the litigation before the Hon'ble DCT.
2. The interests of justice however, would be served, if the Respondents are allowed to file reply whereafter the matter shall be taken up for arguments.
3. Adj. to 04.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 37 of 2025

01.05.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-1, G.S.

1. Case is passed over.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case is again taken up at 11.50 p.m.

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-1, G.S.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner despite the matter being called twice. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case. Issue notice for the same.
2. R-1, G.S. sought further time to file reply before the next date of hearing. Allowed as a final opportunity.
3. Adjournment to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 117 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Piyush Chaudhary, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Zaffar Abbas, Counsel for Respondent, F&S Department.

1. The Respondent Food & Supplies Department sought time to file the reply. Allowed as a final opportunity, failing which, the matter shall be taken for arguments and their right to file reply shall be closed.
2. Adj. to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 133 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Pravesh Dahiya, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
: Shri Sunil Chauhan, Counsel for R-2 to R-5.

1. The Respondents, R-2 to R-5 has already filed reply and the Respondent, C.O. also has filed the reply. The Petitioner to avail the copy of the reply and come prepared for arguments on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 11.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 55 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Rajeev Bhardwaj, Petitioner in person.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.

1. R-1, RCS made appearance and sought time to file the reply. Allowed.
2. None appeared for R-2, Society despite having appeared on the last date of hearing i.e. 28.03.2025. Issue notice for the same as a final opportunity failing which, the matter shall proceed ex-parte.
3. Adj. to 04.07.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 220 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Pravesh Dahiya, Counsel for Applicant Shri Sanjay Maan & Tej Singh.
: Shri Rampal, Kanungo for RA/SDM Alipur).

1. Applications under Order 1 Rule 10 r/w Section 151 of CPC were moved by Applicants Sanjay Maan and Tej Singh with a copy to the petitioner. The same shall be taken up on the next date of hearing.
2. In the meantime, the representative for R-1, Tehsildar/C.O. (Alipur) was directed to confirm as to whether the said village Bakoli can have these proceedings regarding the demarcation, after the said village stands notified under LDRA on 18.06.2013. This clarification is to be provided by the respondent, Tehsildar/C.O. on the next date of hearing so that the matter can be concluded.
3. Adj. to 16.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 265 and 266 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri M. U. Siddiqui, Counsel for Appellant.
: Shri Sushil Kumar, Counsel for Respondent, Excise Department in case no. 265/2024.

1. The Respondent, Excise Department could not furnish the information as directed on the last date of hearing dated 03.04.2025 and sought further time. It was clarified to the respondent that in case such an information is not forthcoming, it will be presumed that the department does not have much to defend against the plea that the department has discriminated against the appellant in levying unreasonably high penalties and the matter shall be taken up for orders accordingly.
2. Final opportunity is given to the respondent, Excise Department to come prepared with the information as well as for leading the arguments. On the said date, the parties also shall file written submissions so that that the matter can be taken up for orders.
3. Adj. to 16.05.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 288 of 2024

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Anuj Chaturvedi, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Dr. Ankit Gupta, Counsel for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Heard the matter.
2. The Respondent, DGHS was directed to clarify as to whether the deficiencies pointed out qua the parking or the shortage of space for free movement inside the Nursing Home have any objective criteria set by the Department, DGHS or are these subjective assessments by the inspection team.
3. The Respondent, DGHS to also clarify if the petitioner, Hospital had submitted factually incorrect information at the time of self-declaration about the facilities available in the Hospital for obtaining self-certified/temporary registration in 2018/2019.
4. Once this clarification is provided, the matter can be heard as to whether action taken has been subjective or objective and decided accordingly.
5. Adj. to 29.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 51 of 2023

01.05.2025

Present : Shri Mayank, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Mridul Kumar, Proxy Counsel for LRs of Respondent.

1. Petitioner could not lead the arguments and sought further time. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to come prepared for leading the arguments in case the petitioner is not ready for arguments, he may file written submissions.
2. Adj. to 08.07.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 108 of 2023

**Esha Behl
Vs.
Delhi Citizen Group Housing Society Ltd. & Ors.**

01.05.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Sachin Yadav, Husband of review applicant, Ms. Esha Behl.

1. The Representative for review application appeared and submitted in the open Court that he wishes to withdraw the review application and sought liberty to approach the Court in case any grievance remains pending with the applicant, in terms of law.
2. Accordingly, the Review application bearing case no. 108/2023 is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**