

**Case No. 36 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Surinder Singh, Petitioner in person.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner appeared and filed proof of service of notice. Taken on record.
2. Respondent is absent. Issue notice for the same.
3. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Ms. Kanchan Arora along with Shri Puneet Arora, Secretary for R-2 & R-3 appeared and filed reply. Taken on record.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 315 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Respondent, RCS is absent. Issue notice to the Respondent, RCS since they are absent despite notice.
2. Final opportunity is given to Respondent, RCS to appear failing which, the matter shall proceed ex-parte.
3. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 365 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Adarsh Mishra, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Ankit Gupta, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is directed to provide the copy of the petition to the Respondent, today itself.
2. The matter is fixed for reply of the Respondent on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 361 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Akshat Sachdeva, Counsel for R-1,  
          Cooperative Bank.

1. The Respondent bank filed the reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 09.05.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 360 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner,  
Gram Sabha.  
: Shri Ashish Gupta, Counsel for LR's of both the  
Respondents.

1. The Respondent LR's appeared and sought time to file the reply. Allowed. They may file reply along with written argument on the next date of hearing so that the matter can be fixed for orders.
2. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 37 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Shyam Sunder Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Sumit Goyal, Counsel for Respondent, Gram  
          Sabha.

1. The Respondent sought time to file reply along with written submissions. Allowed. Respondent may do so in four weeks whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 01.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 39 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Shyam Sunder Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.

: Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for R-1.

: Shri Abhilash Vashist, Counsel for R-4.

(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

1. Both parties appeared and they may file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 43 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Atha Sagar Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,  
          Gram Sabha.

1. The Respondent filed the reply and taken on record.
2. Petitioner to file written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of his contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 51 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,  
          Gram Sabha.

1. The Respondent Gram Sabha to clarify as to why the orders are not being implemented on the next date of hearing failing which the concerned officer shall be called for explanation.
2. Copy of this order be placed before the Secretary (Revenue)-cum-Divisional Commissioner.
3. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 01 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Ms. Vibhuti Jain, Proxy Counsel for R-1, and R-2,  
Excise Department.

1. None appeared for the petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the petitioner to appear and lead the case properly failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. R-1 and R-2, Excise Department is also given final opportunity to file reply failing which, the matter shall be proceeded without their reply on record.
3. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Shri Kushal Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner appeared and noted the next date of hearing.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 212 of 2024**

**Rais Ur Rehman  
Vs.  
Rakesh Kumar Gupta & Anr.**

27.03.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Respondent in person.

1. None appeared for the petitioner. Also, on the last three occasions petitioner appeared only once and on 13.02.2025, petitioner was given final opportunity to appear and lead the case. Today also, none appeared for the petitioner. It is clearly seen that the petitioner is not very keen to continue with the proceedings.
2. Accordingly, the case bearing no. 212/2024 is dismissed for non-pursuance.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)  
Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 57 of 2010**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Abhinav Singh, Counsel for R-3, DCHFC.

1. None appeared for R-2 despite notice. Issue final notice to the R-2.
2. R-3, DCHFC filed reply and copy of the same is given to the petitioner.
3. Both the parties are directed to appear and lead the arguments on the next date of hearing in case they are not ready for the same, they may submit their written submissions, if they have not done yet, so that the matter can be fixed for orders.
4. Adj. to 02.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 303 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Nilesh Agarwal, Counsel for R-1, RCS.  
: Ms. Nikita Singh, Representative for R-2.

1. Replies of R-1, RCS and R-2 filed which is taken on record and copy of both the replies is given to the petitioner.
2. Both the parties may file their written submissions on the next date of hearing, in case they are unable to lead the oral arguments.
3. Adj. to 02.05.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 84 and 85 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Apoorv Rastogi, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri S. K. Sharma, Counsel for R-1 in both cases.  
: Shri Anees Ahmed, Counsel for R-2, BSNL in case no. 84/2024.

1. R-1, Society sought time to lead the arguments.
2. Both the parties are at liberty to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, on the next date of hearing, in case they are unable to lead the oral arguments so that the matter can then be taken up for orders.
3. Adj. to 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 284 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Gulshan Arora, Petitioner in person.  
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-1, Society.

1. Petitioner is directed to implead RCS as a party and file amended memo of parties for the same before the next date of hearing.
2. Issue dasti notice to the RCS.
3. R-1, Society filed reply with a copy to the petitioner.
4. None appeared for R-2 despite notice. Final opportunity is given to the R-2 to appear and lead the case. Issue notice for the same.
5. Adj. to 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 334 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Sriom, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-2, Gram  
Sabha Jhatikara.  
: Shri Anil Kumar, Applicant under 1/10 application.

1. Shri Anil Kumar S/o Late Jagannath, one of the legal heirs of Late Smt.Savitri Devi, who had moved the impleadment application under Order 1 Rule 10, CPC, personally explained that he is also aggrieved by the impugned order dated 09.10.2017 and the name of his mother appeared as a Respondent in para 4 of the said impugned order. This was put to the parties and they agreed that he can be impleaded as a party. Therefore, the said impleadment application is allowed.
2. The Petitioner to file amended memo of parties.
3. The R-2, Gram Sabha filed reply and the same is taken on record. The newly added Respondent to file his reply/written arguments before the next date of hearing so that the matter can proceed further.
4. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 339 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Mr.Rahul Jariyal, Counsel alongwith Mr.Irshad Khan, Counsel for Revisionist.  
: Mr. Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-1, Gram Sabha Bakoli.

1. The Respondents to file their reply/written arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter the orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
2. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 16.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 281 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Mohd. Bilal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Mr.Ravi Kumar Mishra, Counsel for Respondent.

1. The reply of the Respondent received and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 24.04.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 341 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Sankat Dhawan, Treasurer/Representative for  
Petitioner.

: Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for R-1.

: Ms.Bharti Singh, Proxy Counsel for R-2, RCS.

1. The R-1 filed reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. The R-2, RCS sought time to file reply. Allowed as a final opportunity.
3. Adj. to 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 10 of 2025**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Sankat Dhawan, Treasurer/A.R for Petitioner.  
: Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for R-1.  
: Ms.Bharti Singh, Proxy Counsel for R-2, RCS.

1. The R-1 sought time to argue the matter in person.
2. Final opportunity is being given to lead the arguments. In case, they are unable to do so on the next date of hearing, the matter shall be taken up for orders based on the documents available on record.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 142 of 2024**

27.03.2025

Present : Shri Avdhesh Saraswat, Counsel for Appellant.  
          : Shri Akshay Kaushik, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Heard the parties.
2. All the replies and documents are available on record.
3. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, if they so wish, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**