

Case No. 104 of 2021

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Sumit Sharma, Dealing Assistant for Petitioner
DTC.
: Ms. Kanchan Kumari, Proxy Counsel for
Respondent Society.

1. The dealing assistant who appeared for the Petitioner, DTC is not aware of anything as to why he is even here.
2. Petitioner is directed to represent adequately on the next date of hearing failing which the matter shall proceed for non-pursuance. Issue notice for the same.
3. Issue notice to R-2 and a copy of the petition be provided by the Petitioner to R-1 for filing their reply.
4. Adj. to 18.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 350 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Ms. Nivedita Kumari, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri S.C. Gupta, R-5 in person.

1. The Petitioner to ensure that the orders dated 31.01.2025 of the Court, to take effective steps to have the notices served on Respondents and confirm the same to the Court on the next date of hearing, are complied with so that the matter can proceed further.
2. R-5 filed reply, taken on record.
3. Adj. to 18.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Shri Prajwal Sharma, Proxy Counsel for R-3 & R-4 appeared and filed copy of reply which is taken on record.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 171 of 2016

28.02.2025

Present : Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent
Gram Sabha.
: Shri Kunal, Halka Patwari, for R-3, SDM.

1. Petitioner to provide the copy of petition to the Respondents for filing reply.
2. Adj. to 18.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 353 of 2024

Chander Kala Vs. G.S. Bakoli & Anr.

28.02.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
Gram Sabha.

1. Petitioner absent. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 30.01.2025 the case was called twice and clear directions were given to the Petitioner to be regular in court proceedings and today also Petitioner is absent.
2. Despite being given final opportunity to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case, none appeared on behalf of the Petitioner today also despite the case being called twice. It is clear that the Petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter. Accordingly, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 355 of 2024

Aman Gupta Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi

28.02.2025

Present : Shri S.K. Vashist, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Abhay Dixit, Counsel for R-4.

1. The Petitioner submitted that they are in talks of a possible compromise. Therefore, in terms of compromise proposed between the parties, Petitioner is allowed to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Court in case there is any further remedy sought as per law.
2. Accordingly, the case is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case Nos. : 34 of 2025 & 35 of 2025

28.02.2025

Present : Mr.S.K. Maniktala, Counsel for Petitioner (in both the cases).
: Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Counsel for Respondent, DSIIDC (in both the cases).
: Mr. Abhay N.Sahay, Divisional Manager alongwith Mr.Wasim Ahmad, Legal Assistant for the Respondent, DSIIDC.

1. The matter was called. These two cases are taken up together.
2. These cases emanate from delegation as ordered by Hon'ble Lt.Governor dated 10.09.2024 vide which the Financial Commissioner was authorized to hear the review petitions/appeals against cancellation of allotment/determination of lease by Head of Department, Industries Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi.
3. These proceedings are in nature of administrative appeals and petitions. However, since the Financial Commissioner functions as a civil court in all other matters, these petitions/appeals will also be disposed in open forum by recording day-to-day proceedings for everybody's reference. Both the parties agreed to this.
4. The Petitioner is to first explain as to what entitles the Petitioner to appear in these appeals especially after these cases having traversed and decided right upto the Hon'ble Apex Court. Only when this issue is satisfactorily decided qua the entitlement of the Petitioner to be heard in this forum, the matter can

proceed seeing the chequered nature of the cases so far.

5. It was also put to the Respondent, DSIIDC as to why after the first cancellation of the allotment somewhere in 1998, the DSIIDC did not re-enter and take possession of the said premises till somewhere in 2024. However, this can be explained by the DSIIDC only after the matter is allowed to proceed after considering the Petitioner's response to his entitlement to be heard in this forum.
6. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 351 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Amitesh Gaurav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Iqbal Khan, Proxy Counsel for R-1, RCS
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. R-1, RCS sought time to file reply as directed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. 30.01.2025. Final opportunity is given to the RCS to file the same with an advance copy to the parties and no further extension to file the reply shall be given. In case, RCS does not file the reply before the next date of hearing, the matter shall be taken up for arguments.
2. It is also submitted by the petitioner that the cases bearing nos. 351/2024, 268/2024, 294/2024, 295/2024, 296/2024 and 297/2024 are on the same footing and they may be clubbed for similar disposal. This can be seen on the next date of hearing and all the cases to come up sequentially.
3. Adj. to 20.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 268 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Iqbal Khan, Proxy Counsel for R-1, RCS
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. R-1, RCS sought time to file reply as directed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. 30.01.2025. Final opportunity is given to the RCS to file the same with an advance copy to the parties and no further extension to file the reply shall be given. In case, RCS does not file the reply before the next date of hearing, the matter shall be taken up for arguments.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 351/2024 that the cases bearing nos. 351/2024, 268/2024, 294/2024, 295/2024, 296/2024 and 297/2024 are on the same footing and they may be clubbed for similar disposal. This can be seen on the next date of hearing and all the cases to come up sequentially.
3. Adj. to 20.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 233 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Udit Vaghela, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent, DGHS.

1. None appeared for the Respondent, DGHS despite obtaining the copy of the petition and undertaking to file reply on the last date of hearing i.e. 20.12.2024. Final opportunity is given to the Respondent to file the reply failing which, the matter shall proceed ex parte.
2. Issue notice for the same.
3. Adj. to 21.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 101, 102, 103, 104, 105 and 106 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Bhola Singh, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases.

: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-4, Society in case no. 103/2024.

: Shri Tehzib-UI Rehman, Counsel for R-4, Society in all cases except in case no. 103/2024.

1. R-4, Society has filed reply in all the cases except in case no. 102/2024 which has been settled as per the respondent, society.
2. Be that as it may, all the cases are posted for final arguments. In case, parties are unable to lead the arguments on that day, they may file their written submissions.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 21.03.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

**Case Nos. : 294 of 2024, 295 of 2024, 296 of 2024 &
297 of 2024**

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Amitesh Gaurav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. R-1, RCS sought time to file reply as directed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. 30.01.2025. Final opportunity is given to the RCS to file the same with an advance copy to the parties and no further extension to file the reply shall be given. In case, RCS does not file the reply before the next date of hearing, the matter shall be taken up for arguments.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 351/2024 that the cases bearing nos. 351/2024, 268/2024, 294/2024, 295/2024, 296/2024 and 297/2024 are on the same footing and they may be clubbed for similar disposal. This can be seen on the next date of hearing and all the cases to come up sequentially.
3. Adj. to 20.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. : 191 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Arun Dhiman, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Vibhuti Jain, Proxy Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. The R-1, RCS has not filed reply despite opportunity being given on two earlier occasions. Consequently, the right to file reply by R-1, RCS is closed and matter is now posted for final arguments.
2. Adj. to 20.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. : 176 of 2024

28.02.2025

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Deepak Kumar, Sr.Assistant for Respondents,
RCS.

1. The Respondents, RCS made appearance, filed reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 28.03.2025 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**