**Case No. 354 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Sunil Seth, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Hemant Pathak, Counsel for Respondent .

1. The RCS be impleaded as a party and notice be issued.

2. The reply of the Respondent, society taken up on record and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.

3. It is seen from the Award that the rate of interest is high @ 15%+3% penal interest. Consequently, no coercive action be taken qua the Petitioner till further orders of this court. It is also seen that the recovery officer has further increased the interest rate to 17% with 3% penal interest as per the Recovery Certificate dated 26.07.2022. This is in variance with Award dated 14.06.2022.

4. Issue notice to the RCS.

5. Adj. to 17.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 359 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Atul Chauhan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Respondent has received the notice.

2. Final opportunity is given to the Respondent to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 86 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Jatin Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-1.

1. The Respondent sought time to file the reply. Allowed.

2. The Petitioner to bring the legal heirs of the Petitioner on record and file amended memo of parties along with suitable applications before the next date of hearing.

3. Issue notice to Respondent, C.O.

4. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 328 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner, Gram Sabha.
: None for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner is given one final opportunity to get the notices served upon the Respondents and confirm the same to the Court failing which the matter shall be posted for orders on merits.

2. Adj. to 17.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 09 of 2025**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner, Gram Sabha.
: Shri Jagpal, Representative for R-1.
: Shri Krishan, Representative for R-3 & R-5.

1. The Respondents appeared through representatives and sought time to file the reply before the next date of hearing.

2. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 22 of 2025**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Shyam Sunder, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent, Gram Sabha.

1. The Respondent made appearance and sought time to file the reply/ written submissions. They may do so, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.

2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 02.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 24 of 2025**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vivek Rathor, Counsel for all Respondents.

1. The Respondent appeared and filed reply.

2. Both parties to file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.

3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 01.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 30 of 2025**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent, Gram Sabha.

1. Heard the parties.

2. Both parties to file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.

3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 282 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Ms. Pooja Gupta, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Jitender Sharma, AR for R-1, Bank.

1. The representative of the Respondent bank mentioned that the settlement with the Petitioner has failed.

2. The Respondent bank has also filed reply which is already on record.

3. The matter is fixed for final arguments.

4. Adj. to 24.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Shri Roopak Srivastav, Counsel for Respondent appeared and requested for marking his presence. This was noted.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 366 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Bansi Lal, AR for Petitioner.
: Ms.Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.

1. The Respondent RCS filed copy of the circular detailing the audit fees structure and copy of the same given to the Petitioner.

2. The matter is fixed for final arguments.

3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

4. Adj. to 25.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 363 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Chirag Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Kumar, ASO for Respondent, RCS.

1. The representative for Respondent RCS requested for some more time to file reply before the next date of hearing. Allowed with a direction to supply an advance copy to the Petitioner.

2. It is seen that the matter is also taken up by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and the next date of hearing is coming up on 19.05.2025.

3. Adj. to 23.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 329 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent, Gram Sabha Nangli Poona.

1. The Petitioner is absent. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to be regular failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

2. In the meantime, Respondent may take a copy of the petition and file the reply before the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 08.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 286, 292, 299, 300 and 302 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Rajesh Shrivastav, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases nos. 286/2024 and 302/2024.

: Shri Mayank Jain, Counsel for Petitioner in 299/2024.

: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society in case no. 302/2024.

: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Deepak Kumar and Gaurav Dahiya, Sr. Assistants, for R-1, RCS in all cases except case no. 299/2024.

: Shri Neeraj Agarwal, Counsel for R-1, RCS in case no. 299/2024.

1. Heard the matter.

2. All the cases are taken up together since the fundamental proceedings in case no. 286/2024 emanates from the said report of the CBI and the prosecution sanction as sought by the CBI via the R-1, RCS qua the erstwhile managing committee of the Bank which for some reason or the other has not culminated so far. In the other cases also the show cause notice which has been issued refers to the same report of the CBI and follow up action.

3. That being the case, the matter was heard at length and the one question that is not resolved so far as per the records is that as to why the CEO of the Bank who as per the RCS and the CBI is the competent authority to give the prosecution sanction has not been clarified if he can share the contents of the CBI Report with the persons alleged to have committed the irregularities. The question is as to whether the contents of the CBI report should be at all revealed by the competent authority to the persons who are alleged to have committed the said irregularities,

before any decision for prosecution sanction can be taken by the competent authority.

4. This clarification is to be examined by the RCS and submitted to the court, if needed in consultation with the CBI, as early as possible so that all these cases can be concluded in this court at the earliest.

5. Interim orders to continue in cases nos. 286/2024 and 292/2024 till the next date of hearing.

6. As requested by the Ld. Counsel for R-1, RCS, orders to be given dasti.

7. Adj. to 17.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 314 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Pradeep Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Review Applicant.
: Shri Tariq Siddiqui, Counsel for Respondent, Bank.

1. Arguments were heard.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are now directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter the orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.

3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 02.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 340 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Raj Kumar Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Gautam Chakravarti, Counsel for R-1, Bank.
: Shri Ram Gopal, Office Supdt. for R-8, Railway Department.

1. The R-1, Bank as well as the R-8 have filed the reply with a copy to the petitioner.

2. It is also seen that the petitioner has prayed for interim protection till the decision in this case to which the R-1, Bank submitted that there is already a stay and no deductions are being made from the emoluments of the petitioner. This was noted.

3. Adj. to 11.04.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 294, 295, 296, 297, 351, 268, 242**
**and**
**191 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Amitesh Gaurav, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases except case no. 191/2024.
: Ms. Vibhuti Jain, Proxy Counsel for Respondent, RCS in all cases.
: Shri S. Ahmed, Counsel for R-1 in cases nos. 294, 295, 296, 297, 351 of 2024.
: Shri Rajiv Vig. Counsel for R-2, Society in all cases.

1. Heard the parties.

2. The reply of the R-1, RCS is seen and shared with the petitioners.

3. Accordingly, the matter is now reserved for pronouncement of orders based on the documents available on record on 08.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 148 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Balbir Singh, Petitioner-1 in person.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Vishal, Patwari for Respondent, C.O.

1. The Respondent, C.O. to attend personally with the reply on the next date of hearing failing which the matter shall be taken up for orders.

2. Adj. to 04.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 87 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Vikramjeet Singh Mann, Petitioner in person.
: None for Respondent, C.O.

1. Heard the Petitioner.

2. The reply of the Respondent, C.O. and rejoinder filed by the Petitioner seen.

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders based on the documents available on record, on the issue of jurisdiction post urbanization, on 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 358 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Shyam Sunder Dalal, Counsel alongwith Shri Deeptansh Chowdhary, Petitioner-1.
: Shri Sameer Dahiya, Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Ashish Shukla, Patwari for R-7.

1. The R-7, Tehsildar's representative appeared and submitted that the reply could not be filed and sought time.

2. The R-7, Tehsildar can file reply/written submission within next four weeks as the other parties can also do the same so that the orders can be passed based on the documents available on record.

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 250 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Yadav, Shri Sahil Rao and Shri Sharukh Sharma, Counsels for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Heard the Petitioner.

2. The reply of the Respondent, DGHS is on record.

3. The Respondent, DGHS could not appear and hence the reply is treated as written submissions.

4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

After the court proceedings, Shri Naresh Kumar, Jr. Assistant for Respondent, DGHS appeared and requested to mark his presence. It was noted.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 324 of 2024**

20.03.2025

Present : Shri Vasu Vibhav Purohit, Counsel for Petitioners.
: Shri Pankaj Singhal, Counsel for Respondent, Society.

1. Heard the parties.

2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record. .

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 09.05.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**

**Case No. 269 of 2023**

20.03.2025

Mentioned today by Abhishek Tyagi, Counsel for LRs of R-1.

1. The matter was mentioned.

2. It is the case of the review applicant that, this court had no jurisdiction after the Hon'ble Supreme Court's judgment dated 14.03.2023 titled ***"Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs Narain Singh and Others"***. Also, the review applicant while making mention submitted that the orders of the Tehsildar dated 11.01.2019 were passed as a consequence of decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.2522/2016. Therefore, the submissions of the review applicant was that the orders passed by the revenue authorities as a consequence of compliance to the orders of the Hon'ble Apex Court cannot be termed as non-est and hence it is an error on the face of it by the court. To this extent, the review applicant is to be heard.

3. Issue notices.

4. Adj. to 04.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner**
**Delhi**