

**Case No.361 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri S.K. Sharma , Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Jitender Singh, A.R. for R-1, DSC Bank Ltd.

1. The R-1, Bank made appearance through Authorized Representative and submitted that he is not aware of the facts of the case and sought time to revert to the court with the details.
2. The Petitioner's case is that the Petitioner is neither the surety nor has availed any loan or stood surety for anyone leave alone in this case. As per the Petitioner, this is the case of either misplaced proceedings against him or mistaken identity proceedings against him. Since the submission has been made to the court, benefit of doubt at this stage is being given to the Petitioner and the Petitioner is, therefore, protected against any coercive action in the matter till the next date of hearing by which time the R-1 Bank can place on record the factual matrix of the case as per the Bank.
3. The Petitioner is so protected till the next date of hearing.
4. The Petitioner to provide a copy of the petition to the R-1 Bank.
5. Issue notice to R-2, RCS.
6. As requested by the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner, copy of this order be given dasti.
7. Adj. to 27.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 362 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Sunil Chauhan, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The restoration due to circumstances as explained, is allowed with a clear direction to the Petitioner to remain regular henceforth, and confirm the service of notice before the next date of hearing, so that the matter can be taken up on the issue of jurisdiction post urbanisation.
2. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 349 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Karan Mann, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-3.

1. The Respondent to file reply.
2. R-1, C.O. is absent. Issue notice for the same.
3. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 188 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri V.C. Bharti, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Jaspreet Singh, Counsel for R-2 Society.

1. The Respondent society filed reply and copy supplied to the Petitioner.
2. Respondent RCS is absent today. Issue notice for the same.
3. Adj. to 17.04.2025 for rejoinder/ arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 84 & 85 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Apoorv Rastogi, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for R-2 in case no. 85/2024.  
: Shri Anis Ahmed, counsel for R-2, BSNL in case no. 84/2024.

1. Petitioner has submitted now that he is not at the moment before two forums and his petition before the Hon'ble DCT has been dismissed.
2. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the quantum of the deduction which is against the rules in terms of the Petitioner. Be that as it may, till this matter is finally decided here, no coercive action be taken qua the Petitioner and the matter is now posted for final arguments.
3. In case the parties are not able to lead the arguments they are at liberty to file written submissions so that the matter can be taken up for final orders.
4. Adj. to 27.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 164 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Ms. Namita Roy, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Respondent is absent. Issue notice from the Court.
2. Final opportunity is given to Respondents to file reply.
3. Adj. to 03.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 133 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Sushil Kumar, Respondent in person.  
: Shri Sandeep, C.O. in person.  
: Shri Sunil Chauhan, Counsel for R-2 to R-5.

1. The Consolidation Officer (Alipur) filed reply with a copy to the parties.
2. R-2 to R-5 is submitted to file the response to the reply filed by the C.O.
3. Both the parties are at liberty to file written submissions and also come prepared for final arguments on the next date of hearing so that the matter can be taken for orders.
4. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 119 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Sunil Kumar, Respondent in person.  
: None for Respondents

1. None appeared for Respondents and replies have also not been filed yet. Final opportunity is given to appear and file the same. Issue notices.
2. Adj. to 28.03.2025 for reply/final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 312 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for LRs of Respondents.

1. Respondent sought time to file reply. Allowed.
2. Issue notice to the R-3, S.O. for filing reply.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 338 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-1, G.S.

1. Petitioner is provided the copy of the petition to the respondent, G.S.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their contentions particularly on the issue of jurisdiction since the village Bakoli is under LDRA notification 18.06.2013 within four weeks, whereafter the orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 03.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 339 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-1, G.S.

1. Petitioner is provided the copy of the petition to the respondent, G.S. for filing reply.
2. Adj. to 27.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 220 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Sandeep, C.O. in person.

1. The respondent, C.O. (Alipur) is directed to file reply particularly on the legality of the proposed demarcation before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 28.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 337 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Sanjeev Chopra, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Bindiya, Counsel for R-1, DGHS.

1. The R-1, DGHS sought time to file reply. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 17.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 115 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri S. P. Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.  
: Col. P. K. Chaturvedi, AR for R-2, Society.

1. Heard the parties at length.
2. Petitioner filed the rejoinder with a copy to the respondents.
3. The reply of R-1, RCS is also on record.
4. Accordingly, the matter is fixed for final orders based on documents available on record.
5. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 04.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No.132 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Amish Ram Dabas, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms.Anusuya, Counsel for R-1, Society.

1. The R-1 Society sought some time to file details of discussions held and the pending issues. Allowed.
2. In the meantime, the Petitioner, DCHFC also to reconsider the views of the R-1 Society in terms of the extant law and rulings in this regard and revert to the court on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 17.04.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case Nos.259 of 2024 & 260 of 2024**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners (in both the cases).

: Shri Sumit Goyal, Counsel for R-1, Gram Sabha Burari (in both the cases).

1. The R-1 Gram Sabha filed separate replies to the petitions and copy of the same are given to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 28.03.2025 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Jeet Ram  
Vs.  
RA/SDM Najafgarh & Anr.**

14.02.2025

Present : Shri Abhishek Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Charlie Dagar, Reader to SDM for R-1, SDM (Najafgarh).

1. The R-2 has not appeared or clarified the matter despite specific directions to the R-2 on the last date of hearing i.e. 06.12.2024. Clearly, R-2 has nothing to say.
2. Be that as it may, R-1 has submitted that the proceedings in case No.2/30/2022 are now complete and the matter has since been disposed of before orders dated 18.04.2024 in case No.174/2023, before this court. The Petitioner has been given copy of the reply of R-1 and the Petitioner agreed with this reply.
3. Therefore, it does not help anyone to continue with the matter since the pending proceedings have already been concluded in the court of Ld.SDM as per both the parties. In case the Petitioner is aggrieved by the final outcome of the said proceedings as reported by R-1, the Petitioner is at liberty to agitate qua the same as per law.
4. The Petition bearing No.240/2024 is disposed of in terms of the above.
5. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)  
Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

14.02.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Shyam Sunder, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The matter was mentioned.
2. The Petitioner submits that the matter is presently being included into by the Ld.SDM under Section 81 of the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954 for non-agricultural usage of the land and since the village Roshan Pura is urbanized on 16.05.2017, no such proceedings can pend in terms of the rulings of the Hon'ble Apex Court at this stage.
3. To consider the submissions made by the Petitioner in the court, the Petitioner is protected from any such proceedings till the next date of hearing qua the Petitioner's rights and no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner till the next date of hearing.
4. Issue notices to Respondents.
5. As requested by the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner, copy of this order be given dasti.
6. List on 27.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**