

**Case No. 197, 198 and 199 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Sohan Rawat, Petitioner in person.  
: Shri B. K. Mishra alongwith Manish Jeti, AR for Bestway Society for R-1 in case no. 199/2024.

1. None appeared for the Respondent, society in case no. 197/2024 & in case no. 198/2024. Final opportunity is given to appear and file the response and lead the case failing which, the matter shall proceed ex-parte.
2. In case no. 199/2024, if the petitioner does not confirm the settlement of dues as proposed by the respondent, society before the next date of hearing, the same shall be allowed as submitted by the respondent.
3. Issue notices to the Respondents in cases nos. 197/2024 and 198/2024.
4. Adj. to 07.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 253 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Vibhuti Jain, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.  
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, impleadment applicant in person.

1. Respondent, RCS is given final opportunity to file reply before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the petitioner failing which, their right to reply shall be closed and the matter shall proceed further.
2. Petitioner may inspect the case file so that the impleadment application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC can be properly heard and decided.
3. Adj. to 27.02.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 146, 147 of 2024 & 333 of 2023**

16.01.2025

Present : None for Petitioner.

- : Shri Chaman Lal, Counsel for R-2 in case no. 333/2023.
- : Shri B.K. Mishra, Counsel for R-2, Bestway Society in case no. 146/2024.
- : Ms. Gayatri Kumari, Counsel for R-3, SBI (in case no. 146/2024 & 147/2024) and R-4, SBI Bank (in case no. 333/2023).
- : Shri Manish Jethi, AR for R-2, Bestway Cooperative Urban Thrift & Credit Society (in case no. 146/2024).

1. The Petitioner is absent. Final opportunity is given to Petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing. Petitioner to also ensure that legible copies be provided to R-2 in case no. 333/2023.
2. The Respondent SBI is given final opportunity to file reply before the next date of hearing.
3. Interim orders to continue in case No. 333/2023 till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 07.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 290 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Neeru Vaid, Counsel for R-2.

1. Ld. Counsel for R-2 submitted to further consult the client and revert to the court with clear submission as to the response of the respondent qua the action taken. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 21.02.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 294, 295, 296 and 297 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Amitesh Gaurav, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases.

: Dr. Jafar Abbas, Counsel for R-1, RCS in all cases.

: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-2, Society in all cases.

1. R-2, Society filed reply and copy of the same is given to the petitioner.
2. R-1, RCS sought time to file reply specifically in view of the ongoing election process. Allowed.
3. Adj. to 28.02.2025 for reply/arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 265 and 266 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri M. U. Siddiqui, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.

: Shri Sushil Kumar, Counsel for Respondent, Excise Department in case no. 265/2024.

: Shri P. N. Mishra, Counsel for Respondent, Excise Department in case no. 266/2024.

(Filed Vakalatnama)

1. In both the cases, the Respondent, Excise Department sought time to file reply. Final opportunity is given to file the same failing which, their right to reply shall be closed.

2. Adj. to 27.02.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 153 of 2022**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Arun Kumar, Clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. U. K. Dixit, Caretaker for R-2, Society.

1. The review applicant could not lead the arguments and sought time. On the last occasion, final opportunity was given to appear and lead the arguments failing which written submissions may be filed.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 271 of 2024**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner,  
G.S.  
: Shri Trilok Chand, Clerk of Counsel for Respondent.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next six weeks particularly on the issue of jurisdiction, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.02.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 07 of 2018**

16.01.2025

Present : Shri Raj Kumar Gupta, Brother of Petitioner.  
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for R-3, G.S.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Since the written submissions are already on record, accordingly, the orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.03.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case Nos. 10 of 2025**

16.01.2025

Mentioned today by Shri Anuj Dhir, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The Ld. Counsel for Petitioner made a mention.
2. The impugned orders dated 09.08.2024 were seen. The petitioner is aggrieved by the violation of Section 139 (2)) of the DCS Act, 2003 where there ought to have been a direction to the officer of the society to furnish any information before any imposition of penalty.
3. In this case, the impugned orders dt. 09.08.2024 are the first direction as given to the Officer of the society by the Assistant Registrar, RCS whereby some penalties have been imposed. The contention of the petitioner is that in case the petitioner was found in any violation of the provision of Section 139 of the said Act, a direction from the Assistant Registrar, RCS was a pre-requisite legal requirement and since the same is not complied with, the impugned orders are violative of the law.
4. On the face of it, the contention of the petitioner appears to be correct and the impugned orders do not appear to be sustainable, however, in the interest of justice, before setting them aside notices be issued to the RCS and the Caveator, who has been impleaded as a respondent by the petitioner in all fairness, to respond.
5. At the same time, petitioner is directed to implead RCS as a respondent for the proper adjudication of the case.
6. Seeing the facts of the case, no coercive action in terms of the deduction of money from the account of the petitioner be taken till the matter is adjudicated in this Court.
7. List to 30.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 280 of 2024**

**Gopi Ram & Ors.  
Vs.  
Gaon Sabha, Sultanpur**

16.01.2025

Case was mentioned today by Shri Atha Sagar Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Petitioner mentioned the matter and submitted to withdraw the petition. Allowed.
2. Accordingly, the revision petition no. 280/2024 is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)  
Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**