

**Case No. 293 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Vinay Redhu Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Akshit Sachdeva, Counsel for Respondent.

1. The respondent made appearance and sought time to file reply/ workout an arrangement with the Petitioner so that the matter can be resolved out of the Court.
2. Adj. to 17.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 294, 295, 296 & 297 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Amitesh Gaurav, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Jaffar Abbas, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.

1. The Respondent RCS made appearance and submitted to file the reply on or before the next date of hearing. Allowed.
2. Issue notice to other Respondents.
3. Adj. to 16.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 303 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar Verma, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: Shri Neeraj Aggarwal, Counsel for R-1, RCS.

1. R-1, RCS appeared and submitted to file reply before the next date of hearing. Allowed.
2. Issue notices to the other Respondents.
3. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 237 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioner to confirm the service of notice before the next date of hearing so that the matter can proceed further.
2. Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. As requested by the Ld.Counsel for the Petitioner, copy of this order be given dasti.
4. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 288 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Anuj Chaturvedi, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent, DGHS.

1. The Petitioner filed proof of service.
2. None appeared for Respondent despite notice.
3. Issue another notice to the Respondent, DGHS from the court for appearance and to file reply.
4. Adj. to 17.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 250 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Rahul Yadav, Counsel alongwith Shri Sahil Rao, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Pradeep Kumar, Junior Assistant for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Respondent, DGHS made appearance and submitted to file reply before the next date of hearing. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 19.12.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 327 of 2018**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Raghvender Pratap Rao, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: None for Respondent, C.O. Village Bijawasan.

1. Petitioner to confirm the service of notice before the next date of hearing.
2. None appeared for Respondent, C.O.
3. Final opportunity is given to the Respondent, C.O. to appear and lead the case.
4. Issue notice to the Respondent, C.O. essentially to decide the observations of the court dated 11.01.2024 before proceeding further.
5. Adj. to 19.12.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 232 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Neerav Mainkar, Counsel alongwith Shri Raj Kamal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Neeraj Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Respondents, Excise Department.

1. Respondent filed written submissions and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Since documents from both the sides are complete, therefore, both the parties are directed to file their written arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 233 of 2024**

28.11.2024

Present : Shri Parshant Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Petitioner sought time. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Issue notice to the Respondent, DGHS.
3. Adj. to 20.12.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

28.11.2024

Mentioned today by Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The matters were mentioned.
2. It is seen that the said village Bakoli stands covered under the L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and the impugned orders/proceedings are of 2024. Therefore, on the face of it, these orders need scrutiny.
3. In these both cases, either the proceedings are pending or some orders have been passed post L.D.R.A. Notification of the said village Bakoli and the same are contrary to the provision of extant law and deserve to be stayed till further hearing in the matter.
4. All proceedings are therefore stayed in lower court till the next date of hearing.
5. Issue notice to the Respondents.
6. Adj. to 20.12.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

28.11.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Abhilash Vashishth, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The matter was mentioned by the Ld.Counsel.
2. Petitioner is aggrieved by the orders of this court dated 19.09.2024 wherein the Respondents have been mentioned as Gram Sabha Bijwasan instead of Gram Sabha Bharthal.
3. Petitioner's case is that it was inadvertent mistake by the Petitioner to refer Gram Sabha Bharthal mistakenly as Gram Sabha Bijwasan in the memo of parties and the Petitioner prays to have said memo of parties of Respondents be corrected.
4. It is seen that the impugned order passed by this court as well as notice issued in the original revision petition no.134/2024 were addressed rightly to the Gram Sabha Bharthal and only the error has crept in the memo of parties which the Ld.Counsel for the Petitioner accepts was inadvertent mistake on the part of the office of the Ld.Counsel.
5. On the face of it, the same deserves to be corrected as being mere technicality. However, in the interest of justice, issue notice to the said Gram Sabha Bharthal before proceeding further.
6. Adj. to 20.12.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**