

Case No. 196 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Ankur Bhalla, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Ritik, Counsel for R-1.

1. The Petitioner filed amended petition with a copy to R-1 which is also taken on record and the Respondent desired to argue the matter straightaway.
2. Heard the parties.
3. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 164 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Ms. Namita Roy, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dinesh Dogra, Counsel for R-2.

1. The Petitioner to file the revised memo of parties.
2. Respondents to file the reply.
3. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 283 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri S.D. Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Respondents are absent despite notice. Final opportunity is given to the Respondents to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 282 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Deepak Kapoor, Counsel for R-1, Keshav Sehkari Bank Ltd.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
2. Respondent to file the reply.
3. Adj. to 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 298 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Ms. Sanchi Arora, Counsel for Petitioners.
: None for Respondent.

1. None appeared for the Respondents despite notice.
2. Final opportunity is given to Respondents to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 213 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is absent today despite final opportunity given on the last date of hearing on 27.09.2024.
2. Issue notice to the Petitioner as the final opportunity for appearance and leading the case on the next date of hearing, failing which the matter shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 304 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Vijender, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner filed track report of the service of notice.
2. None appeared for the Respondent. Final opportunity is given to the respondent to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing. Issue notice for the same.
3. Adj. to 09.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 287 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Respondent,
G.S.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, on the issue of jurisdiction within four weeks based on the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 17.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 178, 179 and 180 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Vijay Kumar, Representative for Respondent in all three cases.

1. None appeared for the petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
2. Respondent made appearance and requested a copy of the petition which is to be supplied by the Petitioner.
3. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Later on, Faizan Ahemad Khan, Counsel for Petitioner appeared and requested to mark his presence and noted the next date of hearing.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 241 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Review Applicant, Society.

: Shri M.K. Singhal, Counsel for R-1 (Revisionist).

: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-2, RCS.

: Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for R-3, DCHFC.

1. R-1, Revisionist filed reply.
2. R-3 also filed the reply and copy of the same is given to the Review Applicant.
3. R-2, RCS to file response. R-2, RCS to particularly cover aspect of non-discrimination of the revisionist as the review Applicant mentioned in the instant case.
4. As desired by the Ld.Counsel for R-2, RCS, copy of this order be given dasti.
5. Adj. to 09.01.2025 for reply/arguments of R-2, RCS.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 108 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : None for Petitioners.
: None for Respondent, C.O. Village Bijwasan.

1. Petitioners are absent. On the last date of hearing also the Petitioners remained absent. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead their case failing which the matter shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.
2. Adj. to 17.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 220 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Shyam Pal, Kanungo for Respondent, SDM
(Alipur).

1. Parties to revisit the extant instructions passed by the Government in these cases and revert to this court on the next date of hearing till then the said demarcation need not to be physically carried out on the ground.
2. Interim reply filed by the Respondent, C.O. is taken on record and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
3. Adj. to 10.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 153 of 2022

22.11.2024

Present : Dr.Jitender Singh, Authorized Representative for Petitioner/Review Applicant.
: None for Respondents.

1. The Review Applicant sought time since her Ld.Counsel is not well. Allowed.
2. Issue notices to the Respondents to appear and lead the arguments.
3. In case, the parties are unable to lead the arguments on the next date of hearing, they may file their written submissions on or before that day so that the matter can then be taken up for orders.
4. Adj. to 16.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 190 of 2024

22.11.2024

Present : Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Vikas Nagpal, Counsel for R-3.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 17.01.2025.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

22.11.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Sanjeev Chopra, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The Petitioner, Nursing Home through Ld.Counsel mentioned the case.
2. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 22.10.2024 which as per the Ld.Counsel was received by the Petitioner on 06.11.2024.
3. The impugned order has effectively rejected the renewal of the registration of the Petitioner's Nursing Home and has directed that the said Nursing Home will cease to operate after 30 days of the passing of these orders i.e. from 22.11.2024.
4. The Petitioner is aggrieved that contrary to the impugned orders, the Petitioner had filed all the requisite documents and had also appeared for personal hearing through the Managing Director of the Petitioner, Nursing Home.
5. The impugned orders have not reflected the submission of the documents as well as the appearance of the Managing Director for personal hearing.
6. The Petitioner also states that the Petitioner has duly filed representation that the Nursing Home is operational only from the ground and first floor which is in accordance with the pending proceedings in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WPC no.6952/2022 which is dealing with issue of NOC from the Fire Department.
7. The Petitioner's case is that the impugned order suffers from being non explanatory of the factual matrix and the facts as available on the ground and therefore pressed that the impugned orders need to be revisited in terms of the petition filed.

8. From the record file, it is seen that the Petitioner has submitted copies of the documents filed before the Health authorities with acknowledgement thereon within the due date as specified in the Show Cause Notice.
9. To this extent, the Petitioner's case needs to be looked into further and the interests of justice will be served if the Petitioner is protected from the implementation of these orders till the next date of hearing. It was also clarified by the Petitioner that after Covid Pandemic, the said premises had been functioning all along while the renewal of the registration was under process and under consideration at various stages.
10. Therefore, no harm will occur in case the said premises is allowed to function till the next date of hearing when the Respondents shall file their reply to the petition.
11. Issue notices to the Respondents.
12. As requested by the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner, a copy of this order be given dasti.
13. List on 10.01.2025.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**