

Case No. 107 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Afsar Nabi, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vinod Kumar Jain, Representative for R-3.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Restoration applicant is directed to clarify the issue posed by this Court on 06.02.2024 before the matter was dismissed and then seeks restoration.
3. Adj. to 09.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 112 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent RCS.

1. The Petitioner is given one final opportunity to explain his locus in writing within a period of three weeks with an advance copy to the Respondent.
2. Accordingly, the locus shall be considered on the next date of hearing and thereafter the matter shall be proceeded further.
3. Adj. to 30.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 115 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri S.P. Gupta, Counsel along with Petitioner
Anoop Malik.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner filed proof of service of notice.
2. The Respondent given final opportunity to appear and lead their case.
3. Adj. to 30.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 143 & 144 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Pawan Kumar Singh, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.
: Shri Paras Jain, Counsel for Respondent in both cases.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. The Respondent filed an order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 20.05.2024 wherein the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi squarely dealt with the matter at hand. In the said order the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has declined to give any relief to the Petitioner herein and has held that the Petitioner is a wilful defaulter.
3. In the light of the clear orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the matter is now fixed for order on the issue of maintainability.
4. Accordingly, both parties may file their written submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks qua the same, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
5. Cases are reserved for pronouncement of orders on 23.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 114 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Respondent, Gram Sabha.

1. Both parties are directed to file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 29.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 83 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Satyapal and Shri Jitender Maan, Counsels for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner allowed an opportunity to have the notices served and file the same within a period of three weeks.
2. Adj. to 23.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 145 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Vaibhav Dang, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for
Respondent, Industries Department.

1. The Respondent is given time to file the reply.
2. Adj. to 30.08.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 331 of 2023

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Vaibhav Dang, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for
Respondent, Industries Department.

1. All replies on record.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 30.08.2024 for arguments.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 27 of 2024

Berry Tax (P) Ltd. Vs. Commissioner Industries & Ors.

05.07.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent, Industries Department.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 04.04.2024, the Petitioner was absent wherein final opportunity was given to the Petitioner to pursue his case. Despite being given final opportunity to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case, none appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. It is clear that the Petitioner is not keen to pursue the matter. Accordingly, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 173 of 2021

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Sidhartha Iyer, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for
Respondent, Industries Department.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 04.04.2024, the Petitioner was given clear direction that he may file in writing qua any objection to the Constitution of the Board. He may do so within a period of four weeks, to enable this Board to proceed further.
3. The Respondent to file reply if they wish to.
4. Fixed for 30.08.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 174 of 2021

Mayapuri CETP Society Vs. Union of India & Ors.

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Sidhartha Iyer, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent, Industries Department.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 04.04.2024, the Petitioner was given clear direction that he may file in writing qua any objection to the Constitution of the Board. He may do so within a period of four weeks, to enable this Board to proceed further.
3. The Respondent to file reply if they wish to.
4. Fixed for 30.08.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)

Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)

Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)

Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 332 of 2023

M/s. Dimension Vs. Appropriate Authority CETP & Ors.

05.07.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri R.K. Bhogal, Counsel for Respondent.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 04.04.2024, the Petitioner was absent wherein final opportunity was given to the Petitioner to pursue his case. Despite being given final opportunity to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case, none appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. It is clear that the Petitioner is not keen to pursue the matter. Accordingly, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 321 of 2023

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Harish Kumar, Clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri R.K. Bhogal, Counsel for R-2 Society.

1. Both the parties to file written arguments/submissions, with citations if any, qua the query posed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. 22.12.2023 in the next four weeks whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
2. In the meantime no coercive action be taken.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.08.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 293 of 2023

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Upkar Aggarwal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vibhuti Jain, Counsel for Respondent, Excise Department.

1. Respondent represented by new Counsel and sought time to file response to the query posed earlier by this Court. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Since, Excise cases are time bound and accordingly, Respondent may provide a copy of reply in advance to the Petitioner before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 08.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 167 of 2022

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Himanshu Gulia, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dushyant, Representative for Respondent,
DGHS.

1. Respondent to be represented appropriately on the next date of hearing.
2. Petitioner confirmed that the interim orders given by the Hon'ble High Court are in operation and the latest orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court are not in his knowledge and hence not filed. To do so before the next date of hearing.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 29.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 20 & 21 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Ms. Sadaf, Counsel for Petitioners in both cases.
: Mohd. Zamir Ahmed, Counsel for Respondent in both cases.

1. Respondent filed reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 23.08.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 116 of 2024

05.07.2024

Present : Shri Vinod Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri R.K. Modi, Counsel for R-2.

1. Petitioner sought time to lead oral arguments. Allowed as a final opportunity. In case, parties are unable to lead oral arguments, they are at liberty to file their written submissions before the next date of hearing so that the matter can then be reserved for orders.
2. Issue notice to the RCS.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 08.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 51 of 2023

05.07.2024

Present : Mr. Shahrukh Inam, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner undertook to ensure service of notice on the LR's of the Respondent before the next date of hearing, failing which the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 30.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 175 of 2024

05.07.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Shriom, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned orders dated 28.03.2024 passed by the RA/SDM, Kapashera, Delhi in contravention of the laws pertaining to non-applicability of the DLR Act 1954 post LDRA Notification dated 18.06.2013.
2. On the face of it and for the said reasons these proceedings cannot be allowed to continue. Therefore, no coercive action qua the Petitioner shall be taken by the revenue authorities till the next date of hearing.
3. Issue notices to the Respondents.
4. As requested by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner, order be given dasti.
5. List to 23.08.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**