

**Case No. 37 of 2024**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Anuj Jain, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: Shri Ram Kumar, Counsel for Respondent.

1. The Respondent to file reply. The Petitioners to supply entire copy of petition to the Respondent for filing reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 24.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 153 of 2022**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Rahul Kadyan, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner sought time to argue the matter.
2. The Respondents are absent. Issue notice to the Respondents for the same.
3. Adj. to 24.05.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 65 of 2024**

18.04.2024

Present : Ms. Jagriti Sanger, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. The Respondents are absent despite notice.
2. Issue notice to Respondents from the Court as a final opportunity.
3. Adj. to 24.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Shri Deepak Gupta and Shri Shekhar Gupta, Respondent in person appeared and requested for marking their presence. This was noted.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)  
Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 67 of 2024**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Shyam Sundar Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. None appeared for the Respondents. Petitioner to file proof of service on the next date of hearing.
2. Issue another notice from the Court, as a final opportunity to the respondents to appear and file reply.
3. Adj. to 16.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 76 of 2024**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Shyam Sundar Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1, G.S.

1. It is seen that the the said village Bijwasan is covered under the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on the issue of jurisdiction within three weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on the issue of jurisdiction on 16.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 77 of 2024**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Shyam Sundar Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1, G.S.

1. R-1, G.S. appeared and sought time to file reply. Allowed. It appears that the impugned orders have been passed much after the applicability of revenue laws ceases. Since the said village Ghumanhera is covered under the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013, therefore the implementation of the impugned orders is stayed.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on the issue of jurisdiction within three weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on the issue of jurisdiction on 24.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 147 of 2022**

**Smt. Anju Kushwaha  
Vs.  
Ghalib Memorial CGHS Ltd. & Ors.**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-1, Society.  
: Ms. Lalita Gupta, Counsel for R-2, RCS  
: Shri T. S. Nanda, Counsel for R-4, DCHFC.

1. Heard the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner. He has submitted to withdraw the petition. Allowed with liberty to approach the appropriate forum in terms of law.
2. Accordingly, the case bearing no. 147/2022 is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)**  
**Financial Commissioner**  
**Delhi**

**Case No. 191 of 2022**

**Holtech CGHS Ltd.**

**Vs.**

**Registrar of Cooperative Societies**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.

1. Petitioner submitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the appropriate forum as and when a cause of action arises. Allowed.
2. Accordingly, the case bearing no. 191/2022 is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)**  
**Financial Commissioner**  
**Delhi**



**Case No. 208 of 2022**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Nirdosh Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rakesh Gupta, Section Officer for Respondent, RCS.  
: Shri Vinod Pawar, Impleadment applicant in person.

1. RCS filed reply and copy of the same is given to the petitioner.
2. Impleadment applicant appeared in person. From the facts on record, it is seen that since the Respondent, RCS has now joined the proceedings, there will not be any specific purpose served to hear another party in this case, accordingly, the impleadment application is not allowed.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 09.05.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 183 of 2023**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Appellant.  
: Shri Yogesh Saini, Counsel alongwith Shri Daljeet Dabas, F.S.O for Respondents, F&S Department.

1. Respondents filed reply. Copy of the same given to the Appellant.
2. Respondents submitted that the reply itself may be treated as final arguments.
3. Appellant may file additional submissions qua the response in the next two weeks whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 17.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 239 of 2023**

18.04.2024

Present : Mr. Sadiq, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Ms. Lalita Gupta, Counsel for Respondent, DGHS.

1. Petitioner sought time to be adequately represented.  
Allowed as a final opportunity
2. Respondent in the meanwhile to file on record as to when the said excess number of beds were occupied in the said hospital and if such period was concurrent with Covid pandemic. The Respondent to clarify this in writing before the next date of hearing.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 17.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 85 of 2014**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Rajbir Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Umesh  
              Sharma, Manager for R-4, Society.

1. The written submissions of both the parties are already on record.
2. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 210 of 2021**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Rajeshwar Kumar Gupta, Counsel for  
Petitioner.  
: Shri S.K. Sharma, Counsel for R-2.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 32A of 2009**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Issue notice to Respondent, RCS.
3. Reply of the RTI filed by the Petitioner shows that the Respondent society is indeed registered with RCS. Despite notice, the Managing Committee or the RCS have not filed any reply. Be that as it may, all the parties may file their written submissions in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 24.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 74 of 2022**

18.04.2024

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rakesh Gupta, S.O. for R-1, RCS.  
: Shri Ashok Kumar, Applicant in person.

1. Heard the parties.
2. It is seen from the records that a specific direction was given to the RCS on 22.02.2024 that till this matter is heard qua the special audit, no further action be taken by any of the parties.
3. The Petitioner filed copy of the email from one Pankaj Wadhwani to the Petitioner society dated 28.02.2024 claiming to have resumed the audit since 23.02.2024. The above action of the Auditor is in direct contravention of the orders passed by this court in this matter.
4. The RCS to explain in writing the circumstances under which such an email was sent to the society and whether the RCS owns the action of the Auditor appointed by the RCS in this regard vis-a-vis the email filed by the Petitioner society.
5. In case, the RCS is deliberately found to be violating the orders of this court then the impugned orders shall be taken up and considered for being set aside since the office of RCS cannot be relied upon to follow the due process of law, in this case.
6. On this issue, the representative of the RCS is directed to revert to this court by way of filing an affidavit on 26.04.2024.
7. As requested, copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
8. Adj. to 26.04.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**