

Case No. 185 of 2023

16.04.2024

Present : Maj.(Dr.) J.S. Vashistha, Petitioner in person.
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Petitioner. He has explained the matter. Clearly the case has not been properly made out. However, in the interest of justice, the Petitioner may file written arguments in support of their averments.
2. Both parties may file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
3. In the meantime, Respondent RCS has not appeared despite notice. Issue notice from the Court for action accordingly.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 31, 32, 33, 34 and 35 of 2024

16.04.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 41, 42, 43, 44, 45 and 46 of 2024

16.04.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 111 of 2024

16.04.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. No fit case made out for grant of any Stay since clearly the Managing Committee is going beyond the mandate or the sense of direction available to it through the open General Meeting of which the Minutes dated 25.02.2024 are already on record. Vide this meeting, the open general meeting was supposed to be held on 17.03.2024 and clearly the Managing Committee has, to circumvent the said sense of the General Meeting, on its own issued orders appointing RO for conduct of election. The same cannot be supported, therefore, no stay is granted.
3. Issue notice to the Respondents.
4. List to 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

16.04.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Rambir Chauhan, Counsel for Petitioners.

1. Heard the Ld. Counsel for Petitioners.
2. The matter in short is that the Petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned orders dated 26.03.2024 passed by the Deputy Commissioner/Collector (Central District) under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954. Vide these orders, Deputy Commissioner/Collector has upheld the impugned orders dated 24.09.2018 passed by the SDM/RA (Civil Lines).
3. The case of the petitioner is that the said village Kamalpur Majra, Burari stood urbanized on 16.05.2017 and in terms of the judgment dated 14.03.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled "***Mohinder Singh (Dead) through LRs and Another Vs Narain Singh and Others***" and the judgment dated 20.12.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in case titled "***Jitender Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws do not apply to such holdings that have since been urbanized. The petitioner has also submitted that this ground was taken before the lower court but the same has not been addressed in the impugned orders.
4. Be that as it may, no consequential action can be taken in terms of the impugned orders by any party at least till the conclusion of the proceedings before this court.
5. Interim Orders to continue till the next date of hearing and Status-Quo on the ground shall be maintained by all the parties till the proceedings are concluded in this Court.
6. Issue Dasti notices, on filing of PF & RC.
7. As requested, orders be given Dasti to the Ld. Counsel of the Petitioners.
8. List to 16.05.2024.