

Case No. 335 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Ms. Sangeet Singh, Power of Attorney for Petitioner.

: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.

: Shri Saurabh Tyagi, Counsel alongwith Atha Joshi for R-2.

(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

1. The Respondent RCS filed the reply and supplied the copy of the same to the Petitioner. Other Respondents may file the reply before the next date of hearing.
2. On the next date of hearing final arguments shall be heard and posted for orders based on the documents available on record.
3. Adj. to 03.05.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 03 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Aditya Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Pravindra Sharma, Counsel for R-2.

1. R-2 appeared and sought time to file the reply.
Allowed.
2. Adj. to 09.05.2024 reply and arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 312 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Abhishek Prasad, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. The Respondents are absent despite notice.
2. Issue notice from the Court as a final opportunity.
3. Adj. to 03.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 333 of 2023

05.04.2024

1. The Respondent Society has sought time to file reply. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 09.05.2024 for reply and arguments.

Financial Commissioner Delhi

Case No. 266 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Rajesh Srivastava, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Respondent RCS did not appear. Final opportunity is given to Respondent to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
2. Issue notice from the Court to Respondent RCS for the same.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 24.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 275 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Ramneek Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Diler, Clerk of Counsel for R-3, Jai Laxmi
Co-op Bank Ltd.

1. The Respondent bank submitted to have filed the reply but the same is not on record. The bank may file their reply before the next date of hearing.
2. The representative of the bank has not been able to clarify the query posed by this Court on the last date of hearing. Be that as it may, the matter is fixed for final arguments. In the meantime, the Petitioner is protected by interim orders till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 16.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 18 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Rohit Kumar Modi, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for R-2 Society.

1. The Petitioner sought time to argue the matter.
2. Both parties to file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 19 of 2024

**C.A. Coop. Thrift & Credit Society Ltd.
Vs.
Assistant Registrar (ARB) & Anr.**

05.04.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner. It is seen from the records that the impugned orders 22.11.2023 are also not part of the petition. Final opportunity is given to the petitioner to file the same and also appear on the next date of hearing failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 30.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 22 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Sunil Kumar, Clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, R-2 in person.

1. Representative of the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner requested to pass over the case as the Ld.Counsel is on the way.
2. Case is passed over.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case is again taken up at 11.40 a.m. :

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, R-2 in person.

1. R-2 appeared in person and submitted that the information being sought by the R-2 from the society will be submitted to the society and also before this court on the date of hearing so that a view can be taken whether such information should be made available to R-2 or not by the Petitioner.
2. Till the pendency of this case here, no coercive action qua the penalty imposed on the society be taken by the RCS or any other authority.
3. Adj. to 17.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 26 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Renu Saini, Counsel for R-2.

1. Counsel for R-2 appeared and sought time to file reply. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 17.05.2024 for reply.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 14 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Shri V. P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. It is seen from the records that the said village Bakoli was notified under LDRA on 18.06.2013.
2. Consequently, the case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on the issue of jurisdiction on 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 291 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Mukul Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. According, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter the matter shall be taken up for orders based on the documents on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 209 of 2023

Raghbir Singh (Deceased through LRs)
Vs.
Raghbir Singh (Deceased) & Ors.

05.04.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. None appeared for the petitioner. A specific direction was given to the petitioner to bring the correct LR of the Respondents on record on the last date of hearing i.e. 23.02.2024 which was in fact repeated. It is clearly seen that the petitioner is not very keen to continue with the proceedings.
2. Accordingly, the case bearing no. 209/2023 is dismissed for non-pursuance.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 23 of 2024

05.04.2024

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Sunita Chaudhary, R-1 in person
: Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Counsel for R-2, R-3 & R-4.
(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

1. Counsel for R-2, R-3 & R-4 sought time to file reply. Allowed to do the same within two weeks specifically on the issue of jurisdiction as well, thereafter the matter shall be taken up for orders on the issue of jurisdiction.
2. Interim orders to continue.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 303 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Dr. K.S. Bhati, Counsel alongwith Shri Kartik Burman, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Respondent did not appear or file reply despite undertaking before this court on the last occasion. Therefore, the Petitioner is protected by Interim Order till the next date of hearing and no coercive action against the Petitioner shall be taken in terms of the impugned order.
2. Adj. to 17.05.2024 for reply/arguments of the Respondents.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 186 of 2014

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Parminder Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Jagdish Singh, Counsel for R-2.

1. The Petitioner sought time for leading the arguments since this matter has been remanded by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. This has to be expedited.
2. Issue notice to the RCS as well.
3. Adj. to 09.05.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 236 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Sunil Kumar, Clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rahul Mehra, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, R-2 in person.

1. Representative of the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner requested to pass over the case as the Ld.Counsel is on the way.
2. Case is passed over.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case is again taken up at 11.45 a.m. :

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rahul Mehra, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, R-2 in person.

1. R-2 clarified the pending information which the Petitioner is to provide. The Petitioner clarified that the information at S.No.1&2 qua the request of R-2 dated 11.08.2022 can be provided. However, the Petitioner sought to revert to the court qua the request at S.No.3 of the request of R-2 dated 11.08.2022. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 17.05.2024 for further hearing.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 58 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Ashish Gupta, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Manoj Kumar, Patwari for R-1, CO.

1. R-1, CO filed reply. The said reply was already on record and the same was allowed earlier as well.
2. Adj. to 02.05.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 277 of 2023

05.04.2024

Present : Shri I.J.S. Mehra, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record. .
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 31.05.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 167 of 2022

05.04.2024

Present : Shri Himanush Gulia, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner submitted that the Hon'ble High Court has now scheduled the matter on 13.05.2024.
2. Issue notice to the Respondent.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Accordingly, to come up on 05.07.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 136 of 2020

05.04.2024

Present : None for Appellant.

: Mr.Zaffar Abbas, Counsel alongwith Shri Satender Kumar, Sr.Assistant for Respondent, Delhi Tourism Department.

1. None for Appellant.
2. It is seen that the Appellant was absent on the last two dates of hearing as well. The matter is already under consideration by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Therefore, this matter is to be kept in abeyance till the Hon'ble High Court decide the issue and the Petitioner approaches this court.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion till then.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 92 of 2024

05.04.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Sachin Miglani, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. The Petitioner's case is that he had stood surety in this case. The Petitioner had limited his liability to a maximum of Rs.15,000/- per month in terms of the authorization that he signed and filed with the Registrar on 13.04.2016. The Petitioner, therefore, submits that in any case the recovery could not exceed more than Rs.15,000/- p.m. whereas, the impugned orders dated 05.02.2024 direct the Registrar, NIFT to deduct Rs.20,000/- or maximum as possible.
3. To this extent, the Petitioner deserves to be heard further and notices are to be issued to the Respondent to submit their response to this court on 04.07.2024.
4. In the meantime, the Registrar, NIFT (who shall be added as a Respondent by the Petitioner and amended memo of parties to be filed accordingly) shall not exceed the deduction beyond Rs.15,000/- p.m. This limit shall be further subject to the outcome of this case.
5. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
6. List to 04.07.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No.	Titled
95 of 2024	Krishna Chaudhary & Ors. Vs. SDM (Kapashera) & Anr.

05.04.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Abhilash Vashishth, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The case was mentioned.
2. Clearly, the said village Bijwasan is covered under L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013. Also the two recent rulings dated 10.04.2023 & 25.05.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled ***Rajeev Shah (Deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.*** and in WP(C) No.7159/2023 titled ***Shweta Agarwal & Anr. Vs. Govt of NCT of Delhi & Anr.*** respectively have held that the villages which are notified as L.D.R.A. are no longer covered under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954.
3. Consequently, the revenue courts ceases to have any jurisdiction in the villages covered by the LDRA Notification.
4. Therefore, the said proceedings as impugned in this petition are hereby declared as ***non-est*** in terms of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as stated above.
5. Accordingly, the case is now disposed of in terms of the above.
6. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi