

Case No. 176 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Vishal Lamba, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner filed the service of notice details.
2. Let the Respondent appear on the next date of hearing. Issue notice for the same.
3. Final opportunity given to Petitioner to clarify the issue posed by this Court on the last date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 23.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

After the regular court proceedings, Ms. Shakuntala, R-2 in person appeared and requested for marking her presence. This was noted.

**(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 82 of 2022

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Anand Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, C.O.

1. Since the said village Kanjhawala has been urbanized on 16.05.2017, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions qua jurisdiction post urbanization in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
2. The issue of impugned order being passed post urbanization shall be covered in the final orders.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 128 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Anand Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-5.

1. Since the said village Kanjhawala has been urbanized on 16.05.2017, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions qua jurisdiction post urbanization in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
2. The issue of impugned order being passed post urbanization shall be covered in the final orders.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 57 of 2023

**Ramesh Kumar & Anr.
Vs.
C.O./Tehsildar (Badu Sarai)**

11.01.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri Satyaprakash, Patwari, for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner was absent on 21.07.2023. The Petitioner was directed to have the notices served and file compliance of the same. Thereafter, the Petitioner was given final opportunity on 15.09.2023 to pursue the matter. It is also seen that the village, Badu Sarai is now covered under the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013. Consequently, the matter is dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 58 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Subhash Khatri, Petitioner in person.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner appeared in person and sought time to explain the matter. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Adj. to 23.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 120 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Ajit Kumar Gola, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Satya Prakash, Patwari for Respondent,
 C.O.

1. The Petitioner submitted to argue the matter on locus. Be that as it may, the village Kanganheri is covered by the LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013. Consequently, does the jurisdiction of the revenue courts sustains in the matter, is to be argued first.
2. Adj. to 14.03.2024 for arguments on jurisdiction.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 208 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for Petitioner, DCHFC.
: Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for R-2 Society.
: Shri Manikant Singhal, Counsel for Applicant, Ms. Kiran Bala.
: Shri H.S. Kohli, Counsel for Applicant, Shri Raj Kumar Gupta.

1. The matter is essentially about the methodology being adopted by the Petitioner, DCHFC qua the quarterly compounding of penal interest charged on the overdue amounts. Only on this issue, there appears contradiction between the Respondents and the Petitioner DCHFC which is at the heart of the dispute. On this issue the acts and legal provisions supporting the contract shall be heard while leading the final arguments in the matter.
2. At that stage impleadment applications also shall be taken up for disposal. The matter is fixed for final arguments on 29.02.2024.
3. In the intervening period, the Petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder. Both the parties to file written arguments as well, in advance so that the matter can proceed for final arguments/ orders.
4. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 191 of 2022

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, RCS.

1. The Respondent RCS to file the reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 228 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Gaurav Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms.Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Varun Singh, Counsel for R-2.

1. The R-2 has filed reply and copy supplied to the Petitioner and R-1. RCS/ R-1 to file the new issues that are likely to be covered in the fresh investigation order.
2. To be filed before the next date of hearing, to help decide whether this petition shall be allowed or not.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 08.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 168 of 2022

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Nikhil Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Anand Yadav, Counsel for impleadment
 applicant.

1. The Respondent Tehsildar filed the reply and copy supplied to the Petitioner.
2. It is seen from the records that the village Haiderpur has been urbanised in the year 1982 (although response of the Tehsildar states it to be 1988). Because of this particular urbanisation issue Revenue Courts do not have the jurisdiction, hence the matter is fixed for final orders on 29.02.2024. Both parties are directed to file their written arguments/ submissions, qua this issue within a period of next four weeks if they so wish, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing only.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 140 of 2021

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Ashish Khatri, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner is directed to file amended memo of parties.
2. Since the village Siraspur has been urbanized on 16.05.2017, both the parties may file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on this issue, whereafter the case may then be reserved for orders.
3. Adj. to 01.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 154 of 2022

11.01.2024

Present : Shri S. K. Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. None appeared for the respondents despite notice.
Issue notices for the same for filing their replies.
2. Adj. to 22.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 427 of 2018

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vivek Kumar Tandon, Counsel for R-2
: Shri Akshit Sachdeva, Counsel for R-3.

1. Counsel for R-3 is given final opportunity to file reply before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the other parties.
2. Adj. to 14.03.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 372, 373 and 374 of 2018

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner in all cases.
: Shri S. K. Sharma, Counsel for R-2 in all cases.
: Shri Abhinav Singh, Proxy Counsel for R-3, DCHFC
in all cases.

1. R-3, DCHFC sought time to file reply. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Other replies and documents are already on record.
3. Accordingly, the matters now be posted for final arguments.
4. Adj. to 14.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 129 of 2023

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Manikant Singhal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for R-3, DCHFC.

1. Counsel for R-3, DCHFC filed reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 21.03.2024 for rejoinder/arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 327 of 2018

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Abhimanyu Garg, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Satya Parkash, Patwari for Respondent, C.O.

1. The said village Bijwasan and Salahpur appear to be covered by the L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and Urbanization Notification dated 20.11.2019 respectively. Consequently, how the revenue courts can have jurisdiction in such cases, will be heard first before proceeding further in the matter.
2. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 402 of 2015

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Rajiv Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Pranjal Tandon, Counsel for Applicant No.2.
: Shri Satya Prakash, Patwari for Respondent, C.O.

1. Since the said village Kapashera was urbanized vide Notification dated 20.11.2019, how the matter can proceed further post urbanization in this court.
2. On this issue, the case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 171 of 2018

**Tarif Singh Dabas
Vs.
Consolidation Officer/Tehsildar**

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Mahipal Singh Drall, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, C.O.

1. The Ld. Counsel for Petitioner submitted to withdraw the petition. Allowed.
2. Accordingly, the revision petition no.171/2018 is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioner to pursue the matter as per law.
3. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 355 of 2011

11.01.2024

Present : Shri Anand Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dinesh Kumar, Tehsildar for R-1, C.O.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-2, Gram
Sabha Pooth Khurd.
: Shri S.S. Khatri, Counsel for R-3 to R-6.
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-7 to R-9.

1. The said village has since been urbanized on 16.05.2017.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions qua jurisdiction in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 01.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 433 of 2018

**Ram Kishan Singh & Ors.
Vs.
Jagdish (deceased through LRs) & Ors.**

11.01.2024

Present : Shri C.S. Dahiya, Counsel for Petitioner-1.
: Shri Rajender Singh, Petitioner-3 in person.
: Shri Ashish Khatri, Proxy Counsel for R-1 & R-2.
: Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Kanungo for R-3 & R-4.

1. The Ld. Counsel for Petitioners submitted to withdraw the petition. Allowed.
2. Accordingly, the revision petition no.433/2018 is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioner to pursue the matter as per law.
3. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 05 of 2024

09.01.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The Petitioner's primary grievance against the impugned order dated 08.12.2023 is that before appointing another Inspecting Officer, if the first Inspecting Officer had given the report with a clear recommendation of the future course of action then how could the RCS go ahead with the appointment of another Inspecting Officer without hearing the Petitioner in any manner. This is violative of the principle of natural justice and due process of law.
2. Accordingly, it is important to understand the circumstances which compelled the RCS to issue the impugned orders without hearing the Petitioner herein. Therefore, the Impugned Order need not be acted upon, till the RCS files the response.
3. Issue notice.
4. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
5. List to 22.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 12 of 2024 & 13 of 2024

11.01.2024

Mentioned today by Shri V.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioners.

1. The matter was mentioned which appeared on the basis of the documents submitted. The said villages Jaffarpur Kalan & Surhera were urbanized vide Notification dated 16.05.2017 and the impugned orders are dated 18.11.2021 in both the cases. Prima facie, the revenue courts do not have jurisdiction post urbanization, is a settled law. However, it is seen that there is a reference to a civil court's order dated 01.12.1989 and accordingly this matter deserves to be looked into further. Consequently, issue notices to the Respondents.
2. Further, till the matter is heard in this court, no third party interest shall be created by any party qua the impugned land.
3. Issue notice dasti to the Respondents through Ld.Counsel for Petitioners.
4. As requested, copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioners.
5. List to 16.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**