

**Case No. 266 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Rajesh Srivastava, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner confirms that the notices have been served on Respondent. Opportunity is given to Respondent RCS to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 179 & 180 of 2023**

**Vivek Kumar Singh**

**Vs.**

**C.A. Cooperative Thrift & Credit Society Ltd. & Anr.**

09.01.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.  
          : None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner was given opportunity to amend the petition and revert.
2. The Petitioner remained absent today.
3. It is seen here that the Petitioner is not keen to pursue the matter and it is also appearing from the documents that Petitioner is already appearing before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
4. The matter is therefore, dismissed for non-pursuance in this Court.
5. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)**  
**Financial Commissioner**  
**Delhi**

**Case No. 275 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Jagat Singh, Petitioner in person.  
: None for Respondent.

1. It appears from the record that arbitrator in the matter has been cited as a Respondent by the Petitioner. There is no need for the arbitrator to be involved in this litigation at this stage. Accordingly, the arbitrator to be removed from the list of Respondents and Petitioner to file amended memo of parties.
2. The Petitioner sought time to explain the delay of seventeen years. Allowed.
3. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 265 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri L.G. Bhardwaj, CAE for Petitioner, DCHFC Ltd.

: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Respondent, R-2.

1. The Petitioner sought time, allowed with the direction to explain the delay of approximately 20 years by the Petitioner DCHFC Ltd., in raising the demand.
2. The Respondent, R-2 filed reply, taken on record along with the copy supplied to the Petitioner.
3. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 277 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Ms. Tabassum, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for  
          Respondent.

1. The Petitioner is directed to file the reply on the specific point of jurisdiction of this Court post urbanisation of village Mohd.Pur Majri on 16.05.2017. Respondent are also directed to do likewise.
2. Adj. for the issue of jurisdiction to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 199 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri S.S.Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for  
          Respondent.

1. The Petitioner given time to argue and explain the delay of twenty years in this matter and also as to jurisdiction of this Court post urbanisation of village Bakhtawarpur on 20.11.2019.
2. The Respondent to file reply including on the points above.
3. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 238 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Ankit Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner allowed time to state implications of the LDRA notification qua jurisdiction.
2. The Petitioner to also explain in the petition as to what is its specific grievance and how the impugned orders are being assailed.
3. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 40 of 2022 and 17 of 2022**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 40/2022 and for Respondent in case no. 17/2022.

: Shri Pankaj Kotra, Counsel for R-2, R-3 and R-5 in case no. 40/2022.

: Shri L. G. Bhardwaj, CAE for R-1, DCHFC in both cases.

: Shri Preetpal Singh Mehta, R-2 in person in case no. 40/2022 and P-1 in person in case no. 17/2022.

1. None appeared for the Respondent, RCS despite notice.
2. R-1, DCHFC filed reply and sought time to lead the arguments. Allowed.
3. Adj. to 09.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 231 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri M. K. Thakur, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Pradeep Aswal, Counsel for R-2, Bank.

1. R-2, Bank is directed to file reply before the next date of hearing. Further, petitioner is also directed to clarify the issue of jurisdiction raised in the orders dated 21.09.2023 of this Court so that the matter can proceed further.
2. Adj. to 29.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 126 of 2022**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for  
respondent, G.S.

1. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments, if they wish to, alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record especially on the grounds of jurisdiction since the village has been covered under LDRA notification of 2013.
2. Interim orders to continue till the passing of the orders.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 144 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for Petitioner,  
G.S.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioner, G.S. sought time to bring the LRs of the respondent on record. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Adj. to 15.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 222 of 2022**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for  
respondent, G.S.

1. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments, if they wish to, alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record especially on the grounds of jurisdiction since the village Bakoli has been covered under LDRA notification of 2013.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 147 and 148 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Sarabjeet Singh alongwith Gaganjot Singh,  
Counsels for Respondents in both cases.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner. Final opportunity is given to the petitioner to appear and lead the matter on the next date of hearing.
2. Replies of the respondents including on the delays are taken on record.
3. Adj. to 13.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 48 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Piyush Rana, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel alongwith Shri Anupam Joon, A.R for R-1.

1. The Petitioner filed written submissions. The Respondent's views including arguments are covered in the submissions made by the Respondents which are already on record.
2. The Petitioner has not been able to clarify as to how the matter is currently liable to be heard by this court qua the query raised by this court on the last date of hearing, as yet. Be that as it may, since the pleadings are over, the matter is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 29.02.2024.
3. As requested, copy of this order be given dasti to the Counsel for Petitioner.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 308 of 2007**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Nagender Singh, Son of Petitioner-15.  
: Shri V.S. Rana, Counsel for R-2 to R-16.

1. The Petitioner is unable to lead the arguments on maintainability post urbanization of village Samaspur Khalsa on 16.05.2017.
2. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 19.03.2024 on the basis of the documents available on record.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case Nos. 163 of 2011, 226 of 2019 & 17 of 2021**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Abhishek Verma, Counsel alongwith Shri Bhagirath, Counsel for Petitioner alongwith Petitioner No.1. (in all the cases)  
: Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Kanungo for R-1, S.O.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Respondent, Gram Sabha.

1. The Petitioner submitted that the matter is also currently pending in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
2. Be that as it may, it is also seen from the records that the said village Mundela Khurd has been covered by the L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and consequently the matter may not lie with the revenue courts now.
3. On this point, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. The cases are now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 19.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 99 of 2021**

09.01.2024

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Mayank Sharma, Counsel for R-1 (B&C).  
: Shri V.S. Rana, Counsel for R-2.

1. The Petitioner was to clarify the issue of jurisdiction. The short point here is the jurisdiction of the revenue courts qua the impugned orders in second appeal by the Ld. ADM and before that by the SDM. On this issue, the matter is now reserved for orders.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions qua this aspect in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Interim orders to continue.
4. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

## Case No. 04 of 2024

09.01.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The matter was mentioned by Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Issue dasti notice to the Respondent through Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
3. Further, in terms of the Petitioner's prayer that as per his knowledge the principal debtor has expired and that the Respondent society has not proceeded qua the principal debtor and the standing legal position vis-a-vis Section 70 (4) (a) of Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003 as well as observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its judgment dated 08.05.2001 in case titled "**Amba Bai & Ors. Vs Gopal & Ors.**" are violated. To this extent, the Respondents will be specifically tested. Therefore, a certified copy of the Lower Court records be called. Issue notice for the same.
4. List to 23.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 198 of 2023**

09.01.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Bhaskar Upadhaya, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner made a request for urgent hearing. Allowed.
2. It is seen from the records that the interim protection was provided to the Petitioner on 18.02.2021 in this matter. Thereafter, during the brief hearing in the Hon'ble DCT again the Petitioner stood covered by such a protection.
3. The Petitioner's case is that the Petitioner has already repaid over around Rs.35 lakhs for a loan of about Rs.10 lakhs.
4. The Respondents, already under notice, are supposed to file their reply on 06.02.2024 when the matter is listed for next hearing.
5. In the circumstances, as explained by the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner, it is hereby directed that no coercive action be taken against the Petitioner by the Respondents or their representatives till the next date of hearing.
6. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
7. List to 06.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**