

Case No. 167 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri P.K. Jain, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Kaushal Mishra, Proxy Counsel for R-3.

1. The Petitioner is given short date to argue the matter on restoration.
2. Adj. to 21.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 218 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Akash Tyagi, Counsel for Respondent Society.

1. The Respondent society sought time to file reply.
Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Issue notice to the Respondent, RCS to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 01.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 213 of 2023 and 334 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri S. K. Kaushik, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.
: Shri Honey Garg, Proxy Counsel for R-1, RCS in both cases.
: Shri Deepak Koli, Counsel for Applicant i.e. Srinivaspuri Mitra Mandal Co-op. Group Housing Society Ltd.)

1. R-1, RCS is directed to explain as to why the matter should not be proceeded further for contempt and only after this, the proceedings shall continue.
2. Issue notice to the Ld. RCS.
3. Interim orders to continue qua the appointing of Administrator till the next date of hearing.
4. Orders to be given dasti as requested.
5. Adj. to 01.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 230 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Yatin, Proxy Counsel alongwith Shri Zishan Ahmed, Petitioner in person.
: Shri Tejas Gupta, Counsel for Respondents.

1. Respondents filed reply which is taken on record.
2. Adj. to 15.03.2024 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 289 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Ms. Vasundhara, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is directed to explain whether the petition is as per the limitation provided by the Act.
2. Issue notices to the respondents.
3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 205 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Afsar Nabi, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for R-2.

1. Petitioner is directed to explain as to how the petitioner can be in front of two forums at the same time. Apparently, the petitioner is already before the RCS in the same execution matter.
2. Petitioner is also directed to supply the copy of the petition to the respondents.
3. Adj. to 15.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 198 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Bhaskar Upadhyay, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anupam Joon, ARO, for R-1, Bank.

1. R-1, Bank is given final opportunity to file reply failing which, his right to file reply shall be withdrawn.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Issue notices to the other respondents.
4. Adj. to 15.03.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 100 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Ram Gopal Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Akshit Sachdeva, Counsel alongwith Shri Anupam Joon, ARO for R-3, Bank.

1. Ld. Counsel for R-3, Bank filed reply with a copy to the petitioner.
2. Other respondents are at liberty file reply before the next date of hearing failing which, their right to file reply shall be closed.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner.
5. Adj. to 15.03.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 02 of 2024

Gram Sabha (Bakoli)
Vs.
Arjun Dass & Ors.

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,
G.S. (Bakoli).
: Shri V. P. Rana, Counsel for R-1, Review applicant.

1. The review petition has been seen. The only prayer made there is to clarify as to whether the impugned orders have been passed on the grounds of jurisdiction alone so that the petitioner is at liberty to file alternate remedies as per law.
2. This court has consistently taken the view that once the Revenue laws cease to apply, Consolidation proceedings cannot sustain.
3. Accordingly, it is clarified that the case bearing no. 02/2024 was dismissed on the grounds of jurisdiction with liberty to petitioner to pursue alternate remedies as per law.
4. Announced.
5. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 233 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri, Anil Tomar, Counsel for Petitioner, Gram Sabha (Mahipalpur).
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner, Gram Sabha made appearance.
2. Since the village Mahipalpur has been urbanized on 23.04.1982.
3. The Case is now reserved for pronouncement of final orders on the issue of jurisdiction based on the documents available on record on 05.04.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 272 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri Ajay Bansal, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. It is seen that the said village Auchandi is covered by LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013. Consequently, the jurisdiction of revenue courts ceases.
2. Be that as it may, the said village Auchandi is covered under L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and two recent rulings dated 10.04.2023 & 25.05.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled ***Rajeev Shah (Deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.*** and in WP(C) No.7159/2023 titled ***Shweta Agarwal & Anr. Vs. Govt of NCT of Delhi & Anr.*** respectively have held that the villages which are notified as L.D.R.A. villages are no longer covered under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954.
3. Issue notices to the respondents to file reply/written submissions in the next four weeks so that the orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
4. Seeing the impugned orders dated 31.07.2023, it is clear that the said orders of revenue courts in terms of the various rulings of the Apex Court and the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in multiple rulings, therefore, the said orders should be implemented with by any of the parties till this case is finally decided in this Court.
5. Orders to be given dasti as requested.
6. Adj. to 05.04.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 273 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri S. S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Be that as it may, the said village Bijwasan is covered under L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and two recent rulings dated 10.04.2023 & 25.05.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled ***Rajeev Shah (Deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.*** and in WP(C) No.7159/2023 titled ***Shweta Agarwal & Anr. Vs. Govt of NCT of Delhi & Anr.*** respectively have held that the villages which are notified as L.D.R.A. villages are no longer covered under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 05.04.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 274 of 2023

06.02.2024

Present : Shri S. S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Be that as it may, the said village Bijwasan is covered under L.D.R.A. Notification dated 18.06.2013 and two recent rulings dated 10.04.2023 & 25.05.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled ***Rajeev Shah (Deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.*** and in WP(C) No.7159/2023 titled ***Shweta Agarwal & Anr. Vs. Govt of NCT of Delhi & Anr.*** respectively have held that the villages which are notified as L.D.R.A. villages are no longer covered under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 05.04.2024.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

06.02.2024

Mentioned today by Shri Puneet Goel, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. The matter was mentioned.
2. Ld. Counsel for Petitioner submitted that because the LRs of the owners of the suit property have not been notified for their response following the due process of law, quoting the summary nature of the proceedings before the Ld. Trial Court, it is imperative that he be heard as to whether all such LRs have been duly represented or at least given appropriate opportunity to represent themselves before the lower court. The continuation of the proceedings in the absence of 'Will', as relied upon by the lower court, not duly finalized as per law, cannot bring the correct picture on record.
3. To this extent, the petitioners in the lower court and the respondents herein need to be heard for clarification and proceedings in the lower court might be prejudicial to the interest of the petitioner herein to that extent.
4. Therefore, all proceedings in the lower court shall remain stayed till 20.02.2024, whereafter a view shall be taken as to if such stay should continue or not.
5. The Ld. Counsel for Petitioner undertook to have the notices served on the respondents within one week so that the matter does not get delayed unnecessarily.
6. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the petitioner.
7. List to 20.02.2024 as before.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**