Case No. 55 of 2019
Case No. 111 of 2020
Case No. 42 of 2010
Case No. 93 of 2019

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Bharat Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 55/2019.
: Shri Sachin Sharma, Counsel for Respondent in case no. 93/2019.
: Shri Dheeraj Singh, Counsel for Respondent along with Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent Industries Department in cases no. 55/2019,, 111/2020 & 42/2010.

1. Since the matter is already pending in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the stay application is not dealt with at the moment.

2. Further the copy of the petition is provided to Respondent for filing of their reply before the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 175 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Karan Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Puneet Saini, Proxy Counsel for Respondent.
: Shri Bharat Sareen, Counsel along with Shri Kuldeep Khanna for R-2.
: Shri Hitesh Sharma, Manager, for Respondent, CETP, Mayapuri.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for R-3 Industries Department.

1. The Respondent file reply and copy provided to the Petitioner.

2. Petitioner may file rejoinder in advance with copy to the Respondent before the next date of hearing.

3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

4. Adj. to 07.03.2024 for final arguments.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 174 of 2021

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Devansh Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dheeraj Singh, Counsel for Respondent along with Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent Industries Department.

1. The orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi were seen. The Petitioner confirms the payments of the penalty as imposed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. To file the same for this Courts records.

2. The Petitioner also to confirm as to whether Petitioner still agitates on the constitution of this board based on which last orders were passed. This issue has not been dealt with by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi orders. All the issues are kept open by the said orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Petitioner is directed to clarify the same in writing with a copy to the Respondent before the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 173 of 2021

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Devansh Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dheeraj Singh, Counsel for Respondent along with Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent Industries Department.
: Shri O.P. Bhowal, Manager, for Industries Department.

1. The orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi were seen. The Petitioner confirms the payments of the penalty as imposed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. To file the same for this Courts records.

2. The Petitioner also to confirm as to whether Petitioner still agitates on the constitution of this board based on which last orders were passed. This issue has not been dealt with by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi orders. All the issues are kept open by the said orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Petitioner is directed to clarify the same in writing with a copy to the Respondent before the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 321 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Rohit Sehrawat, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dheeraj Singh, Counsel for Respondent along with Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent Industries Department.
: Shri Bharat Sareen, Counsel for Respondent Society.

1. The copy of the petition provided to the Respondents.

2. It is the Petitioners case, who prays for interim protection as well, that the Petitioner has received the impugned notices with arrears of demand for the first time ever since 2003 when the Petitioner first came in occupation of the premises. This being the case, the Petitioner deserves to be heard qua the demand as raised by the authorities.

3. Respondent is therefore directed to clarify this issue and also file reply as to how demand was not raised for the past around 20 years and what figured the notice after 20 years all of a sudden.

4. No coercive action be taken qua the petitioner with reference to notice and demand till the next date of hearing.

5. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 210 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Vineet Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri R.P.Vats, Counsel for LRs of R-2.
: Shri Nagender Lakra, Counsel for R-3.
(FILED VAKALATNAMA)
: Shri D.S.Lakra, Proxy Counsel for R-2.

1. The Respondents have filed reply of the review application with copies to the Petitioner.

2. The matter is now fixed for final arguments qua jurisdiction on the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 02.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 222 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Shyam Sunder, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Abhilash Vashist, Counsel for R-2 & R-3.
: Shri Satya Prakash, Patwari, for Respondent,, C.O.

1. The copy of the petition provided to the Respondent, R-1. To file reply alongwith written statement, with citations if any, on the issue of jurisdiction qua LDRA notification dated 18.06.2013, on the next date of hearing.

2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 09.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 35 of 2020

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Deepak Solanki, Attorney for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner is unable to lead the arguments today and sought time to file written arguments/ submissions. May do so with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed qua maintainability.

2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 29.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 44 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Rajeev Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Dipesh Yadav, Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Respondent, Gram Sabha.

1. The Petitioner filed an application under order 22 rule 3 CPC about the LRs along with amended memo of parties, taken on record and allowed. The Respondents to be given a copy of the petition for filing their reply.

2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 09.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 100 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Trilok Chand, Clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Rashmi Rawat, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner
: Shri Kamaljeet Singh, Tehsildar, Hauz Khas for R-1.

1. The Respondent Tehsildar appeared. Respondent be provided a copy of the petition so that they can clarify the court as to how they draw the powers to invoke the provisions of the revenue court post urbanisation of the village in 1966.

2. Adj. to 09.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 239 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Sitab Ali Chaudhary, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Ms. Lalita Gupta, Counsel for Respondent, DGHS
: Shri Maninder Jeet Singh, Counsel for Applicant, Sh. Anil Ahluwalia.

1. Counsel for Respondent, DGHS sought time to file reply. Allowed.

2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

3. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 196 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Bimlesh Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Naresh Kumar, Counsel for R-2.

1. Counsel for R-2 filed reply and copy of the same is given to the petitioner.

2. Both the parties are at liberty to file their written submissions before that day, if they wish to, so that the matter can be taken up for orders.

3. Adj. to 16.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 147 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for R-1, Society.
: Ms. Lalita Yadav, Counsel for R-2, RCS.
: Shri T. S. Nanda, Counsel alongwith Shri L. G. Bhardwaj, CAE for R-4, DCHFC.

1. The matter is now listed in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 23.01.2024.

2. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 136 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner, Society.
: None for Respondent.

1. Respondent, RCS did not file any reply. Issue notice to the Respondent, RCS as a final opportunity to file reply failing which, the matter shall be considered ex-parte.

2. Adj. to 15.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 162 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri S. Chaturvedi, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rohan Narang, Proxy Counsel for R-2.
: Shri Jai Kumar, R-3 in person.

1. Since the village- Khera Kalan has been urbanized, consequently no fruitful purpose would be further served to continue with the proceedings.

2. Interim orders to continue till the passing of the orders.

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 05.01.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 431 of 2018

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments including on maintainability post urbanization within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.

2. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 05.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 30 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments including on maintainability post urbanization within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.

2. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 05.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 187 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Pravesh Dahiya, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rohan Narang, Proxy Counsel for R-1, SDM.

1. Heard the petitioner.

2. As per the petition, the impugned land in the village Bakoli is covered under the LDRA Notification dated 18.06.2013 and the same is out of the jurisdiction of the revenue courts vide judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP (C) N. 3502/2022 titled **"Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.".**

3. Accordingly, the case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on the basis of documents available on record on 08.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 67 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for Petitioner, G.S.
: Shri Vivek Rathor, Proxy Counsel for Respondents.

1. Respondents filed reply and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.

2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 23.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 25 of 2013

22.12.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for Respondent, G.S.

1. None appeared for the Petitioner.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments on the issue of maintainability post LDRA within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of documents available on record.

3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 192 of 2022: Royal CGHS Limited (through its Administrator) Vs. Puneesh Jain & Ors.

Case No. 56 of 2023: J. N. Kaushik & Ors. Vs. RCS & Ors.

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay, Counsel for Review applicant in case no. 192/2022.
: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 56/2023.

1. Counsel for Review applicant in case no. 192/2022 submitted that since the new managing committee has taken over and he does not wish to pursue the review petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed as withdrawn.

2. Similarly, the Contempt petition no. 56/2023 also now is rendered infructuous and the same is also disposed of in terms of the above.

3. Files be consigned to record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 32A of 2009

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Ld. Counsel for Petitioner filed the clarification by way of reply of RTI from the Office of the RCS that the said society was registered vide Registration no. 1311.

2. Be that as it may, it is now incumbent upon R-1 to join the proceedings and also the RCS to clarify the status of the society as on date, before proceeding further.

3. Issue notices to the R-1 and RCS.

4. Adj. to 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 36 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Kunik Aggarwal, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
: Ms. Lalita Gupta, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Ms. Darshna, Counsel for R-2.

1. Petitioner sought time. Allowed.

2. From the perusal of the records, it is seen that this matter was restored after being dismissed in default of the Petitioners.

3. Thereafter also, the Petitioners sought time instead of leading the arguments.

4. One final opportunity is given to all the parties to come prepared for leading the arguments on the next date of hearing. In case, they are unable to lead the arguments on that date, they shall file written statements to the court. On that date, if the parties do not come prepared for arguments, the matter shall be fixed for orders based on the documents available on record.

5. Interim orders to continue.

6. Adj. to 23.01.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 212 of 2010

Rajbir & Anr.
Vs.
C.O. Khera Kalan

22.12.2023

Present : None for Petitioners.
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioners absent.

2. On the last occasion, the Ld. Counsel for the Petitioners had withdrawn from the proceedings and the Petitioners were allowed to be represented properly.

3. It is seen that the Petitioners are also absent today. Consequently, the Petition bearing no. 212/2010 is dismissed for non-pursuance.

4. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 228 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent, Tehsildar.

1. The Petitioners submitted that on account of the judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled "***Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws no longer applies in the village Bakoli as the said village is covered under the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification dated 18.06.2013. Hence, the impugned orders ought not to have been passed.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.

3. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of final orders on maintainability on 05.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 21 of 2020

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner, Gram Sabha, Hamidpur.
: Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Respondents.

1. The Petitioner, Gram Sabha submitted that on account of the judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled "***Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws no longer applies in the village Hamidpur as the said village is covered under the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification dated 18.06.2013. Hence, the impugned orders ought not to have been passed.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.

3. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of final orders on maintainability on 05.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 95 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner, Gram Sabha, Badusarai.
: Ms. Akshita Manuja, Counsel for Respondent.

1. The Petitioners submitted that on account of the judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled "***Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws no longer applies in the village Badusarai as the said village is covered under the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification dated 18.06.2013. Hence, the impugned orders ought not to have been passed.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.

3. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of final orders on maintainability on 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 92 of 2022

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner, Gram Sabha, Badusarai.
: Ms. Akshita Manuja, Counsel for Respondent.

1. The Petitioners submitted that on account of the judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled "***Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws no longer applies in the village Badusarai as the said village is covered under the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification dated 18.06.2013. Hence, the impugned orders ought not to have been passed.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.

3. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of final orders on maintainability on 07.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case Nos. 214 of 2023, 267 of 2023, 270 of 2023 & 271 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Appellants (in case Nos.214/2023 & 267/2023) and for Respondents (in case Nos.270/2023 & 271/2023).

: Shri Sunil Chauhan, Counsel for Appellants (in case Nos. 270/2023 & 271/2023) and for Respondents (in case Nos.214/2023 & 267/2023).

1. The Petitioners submitted that on account of the judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled "***Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.***", the revenue laws no longer applies in the village Bijwasan as the said village is covered under the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification dated 18.06.2013. Hence, the impugned orders ought not to have been passed.

2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their additional submissions, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.

3. Interim orders to continue in all the cases till the next date of hearing.

4. Cases are hence reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.02.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi

Case No. 152 of 2023

22.12.2023

Present : Shri Yogesh Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Yogesh Saini, Counsel for Respondents.

1. It appears from the arguments led that the Petitioner's license was cancelled on 03.03.2018 as per the policy of the Department of 2015. Thereafter, the Department changed its policy on 21.03.2018 to, make the cut off limit of 60 years age as per 2015 Policy, applicable only to the new licensees and not to the existing licensees.

2. The Department after making the policy change as above, restored the licenses of all the other licensees who were over the age of 60 years in terms of the new guidelines dated 23.03.2018 barring the Petitioner since the Petitioner already stood disqualified on account of the 2015 guidelines on 03.03.2018.

3. To the query of this court as to whether the Department had cancelled more licensees alongwith Petitioner on 03.03.2018, on the ground of age, the Ld. Counsel for Respondent sought time to clarify. This may be clarified in the written submissions that can be filed. The Respondents shall also clarify as to how many licensees were disqualified qua age limit as per the 2015 guidelines upto 20.03.2018 and continue to be so disqualified despite the change on 21.03.2023.

4. The Petitioner's case is that he has been arbitrarily struck out from the licensees' list by the Department and that too without any prior notice. The clarification by the Department shall throw light on this as per the

written submissions otherwise the matter is fit to be reviewed.

5. Written statements with the above clarifications may be filed by both the parties to help the court to come to a conclusion in the next six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on 08.03.2024.

6. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.03.2024.

Financial Commissioner
Delhi