

**Case No. 100 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Ramgopal Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Petitioner is directed to supply the copy of the petition to the Respondent bank. Accordingly, the matter is now fixed for reply and further hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 06.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 53 & 54 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Ms. Shivangi Bansal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Ms. Anita Bajaj, Counsel for Applicant, Pawan  
          Bajaj.  
          : Shri H.B. Wadhwa, Respondent in person.

1. The matter is restored to board. The impleadment application will be finally heard on the next date of hearing since copy of impleadment application has been given to Respondent today itself.
2. The copy of the petition shall be supplied to the Respondent by the Petitioner today itself.
3. Adj. to 19.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 236 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rajiv Parashar, R-2 in person.

1. Issue notice to the RCS.
2. R-2 has been supplied the copy of the petition.
3. Interim orders to not give effect to the imposition of penalty, as impugned in this case.
4. Adj. to 22.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 196 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Shyampal, Kanungo, for Respondent, C.O.  
          : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for  
          Respondent.

1. The Consolidation Officer to file reply.
2. The case will be fixed for final orders including on maintainability on the basis of documents to be filed before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 30.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 127 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Deepak Goswami, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1,  
G.S. Jaunti.

1. The matter is restored with advice to the Petitioner to be regular.
2. The matter is now fixed for reply of Respondent, Gram Sabha including on maintainability.
3. Adj. to 20.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 112 of 2023**

**Jeet Ram Vs. G.S. Dhansa & Anr.**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Yogender Saini, Counsel alongwith  
Petitioner in person.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1,  
G.S. Dhansa.

1. Through the present application, the Petitioner is seeking restoration of his case bearing No. 286/2019 which was dismissed by this court on 12.10.2021 due to non-pursuance.
2. Heard the petitioner.
3. From the perusal of record it is seen that the land involved in the present case falls under Village Dhansa. Ministry of Urban Development (Delhi Division) vide notification No.S.O.1744C dated 18.06.2013 in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11-A of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 made modification in the Master Plan for Delhi-2021 and declared Low Density Residential Areas and Low Density Residential Plots were also allowed in villages falling in green belt. Village Dhansa figures in the annexure of aforesaid notification dated 18.06.2013 and Low Density Residential Plots are permitted in Green Belt of Dhansa.
4. The attention of this Court has been brought to a judgment dated 10.04.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.3502/2022 titled **Rajeev Shah (Deceased) through LR Gayatri Shah Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.** The Hon'ble High Court has held that -

*“..... by notification dated 18.06.2013, Village Rajokri, where the land in question is situated, was declared as a Low Density Residential Area (LDRA) in urban extension....*

*13. It is no longer res-integra that once an area has been declared as LDRA, it ceases to be a rural area and becomes part of urban area. The area in question i.e. Village Rajokri was declared as LDRA by way of Gazette notification dated 18.06.2013 issued by the Ministry of Urban Development (Delhi Division). Thus, after declaration of the area as LDRA, the land can no longer be said to be for agricultural purposes. The purpose of DLR Act is to protect agricultural use of the land. However, when an area itself is declared as Low Density Residential Area, non-agricultural use of land stands recognised by the Master Plan itself.*

*14. After modification in MPD, 2021 under Section 11A of DD Act, vide notification dated 18.06.2013, there is no manner of doubt that village Rajokri is an “urban village”. This Court in the case of **M/s. Shri Neelpadmaya Consumer Products Pvt. Ltd. Vs Sh. Satyabir @ Satbir And Ors.** has held that a notification for urbanisation need not only be through a notification under Section 507 of The Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957 (DMC Act). It was held that once a notification is issued applying a zonal plan, issued pursuant to the Master Plan showing the subject lands as covered under the zonal plan issued by the DDA, in such a situation, the lands cease to be lands covered under the DLR Act, as the issuance of notification in the official gazette results in the lands becoming part of the Delhi land.....*

*15. In view of the aforesaid, it is clear that once land is subject matter of zonal plan issued under Section 11 of DD Act, it is beyond the purview of the DLR Act. After the notification dated 18.06.2013, Village Rajokri became an urban village, and therefore, the DLR Act ceased to apply to the land in question.*

*16. Thus, position is clear that Village Rajokri became part of urban land with effect from the notification dated 18.06.2013 declaring the land as LDRA. The effect of the said notification dated 18.06.2013 is that DLR Act ceases to apply to the lands situated in Village Rajokri, where the land, subject matter of this writ petition is situated.....”*

5. In the light of all the foregoing, it is observed that the revenue courts have no jurisdiction to enter into such matters.
6. Further, the restoration application has been filed after a delay of more than two years after dismissal of the case in default which has not been adequately explained by the petitioner.

7. Therefore, the restoration application bearing No.112/2023 titled ***Jeet Ram Vs. G.S. Dhansa & Anr.*** is not acceded to in the light of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court as no fruitful purpose would be served to restore the original revision petition.
8. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)**  
**Financial Commissioner**  
**Delhi**



**Case No. 181 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Shyampal, Kanungo, Model Town for R-3,  
RA/SDM.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1,  
G.S. Bhalswa, Jahangirpuri.

1. Both parties are directed to file their written submissions, with citations if any, in support of their contentions within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 283 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Yogesh Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The notices have been served to the Respondents.
2. The matter is now fixed for reply of Respondents and arguments.
3. Adj. to 15.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 110 & 111 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Ms. Tanya Tejan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The notices have apparently been served as per the affidavit filed.
2. Final opportunity is being given to the Respondent to appear and lead the case.
3. Issue notice to the Respondent from the Court also.
4. Adj. to 30.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 200 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri P. K. Gupta, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Counsel for Petitioner is directed to confirm the service of notice before the next date of hearing so that the matter can proceed.
2. Adj. to 30.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 150 of 2022**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Appellant.  
          : Ms. Astha Joshi alongwith Shri Ankit Gupta,  
          Counsels for R-3.

1. The Review applicant is directed to come prepared for final arguments alongwith the other respondents on the next date of hearing.
2. Issue notice to the R-2, RCS.
3. Adj. to 01.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 172 of 2021**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Jaspreet Singh, Counsel for R-2, Society.

1. Heard the parties.
2. None appeared for the R-1, RCS despite notice. Issue notice again to the RCS for filing their reply.
3. Adj. to 01.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 50 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri J. V. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Honey Garg, Proxy Counsel for R-3, C.O  
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-4.

1. Petitioner explained the legal position of the matter.
2. However, both the parties are at liberty to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, on the issue of maintainability within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 16.02.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 238 of 2016**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for Petitioner,  
G.S.

: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner, G.S. pleaded for final opportunity to bring the correct respondent on record. Allowed. If the Petitioner fails to bring the LRs on the next date of hearing, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Adj. to 30.01.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 208 of 2015**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri R. S. Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
          : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for  
          Respondent, G.S.

1. The Respondent, G.S. is directed to file specific response as to why the impugned orders have not taken into account the details of the petitioner as captured in the report of Patwari dated 31.05.2007 as filed by the Petitioner as Annexure P-8 becoming the part of the petition itself. These details as captured in the report of Patwari are at the heart of the issue, whereas the petitioner's claim is that the impugned orders have neither impleaded all the parties as mentioned in that said report nor heard them before proceeding against the said property.
2. Adj. to 16.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 186 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Honey Garg, Proxy Counsel for R-1, SDM.  
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for R-2, G.S.

1. The Respondents sought time to appear and file reply. Allowed.
2. Clearly, the impugned orders are not sustainable and interim protection have been given to the Petitioner. However, the Respondents may file their written submissions/arguments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 159 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Rishabh, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri R. D. Sharma, Counsel alongwith Shri Ravindra Krishnan, for Respondent, Bank.

1. Petitioner sought time although after grant of stay the petitioner normally is not allowed to seek adjournment. Petitioner is directed to come prepared for final arguments on the next date of hearing failing which, the matter shall be dismissed for non-pursuance.
2. Since as per the Respondent, Bank the petitioner is already before the Hon'ble DCT for similar relief, consequently, whether this matter should continue at all, shall also be heard on the next date of hearing.
3. Respondent, Bank filed reply which is taken on record and copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
4. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
5. Adj. to 01.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 15 of 2020**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, clerk of Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Honey Garg, Counsel for R-1, C.O.  
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for LR's of R-2  
and R-3.

1. Petitioner sought adjournment. Allowed as a final opportunity to appear and explain how this matter is maintainable in this Court.
2. LR's of R-2 and R-3 desired to implead themselves. They may file appropriate applications for the same
3. Adj. to 08.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 02 of 2021**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Honey Garg, Proxy Counsel for R-2, C.O.

1. R-2, C.O. has already filed reply which on record.
2. Since the said village has already been urbanized on 16.05.2017 accordingly, case is reserved for pronouncement of orders based on the documents available on record, on 09.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 200 of 2019**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Honey Garg, Counsel for R-1, C.O.

1. R-2, C.O. (Nangli Poona) has already filed reply which taken.
2. Since the said village has already been urbanized on 16.05.2017 accordingly, case is reserved for pronouncement of orders based on the documents available on record, on 09.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 213 of 2021**

15.12.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Ms. Honey Garg, Proxy Counsel for Respondent,  
C.O.

1. Respondent, C.O. (Alipur) has already filed reply which is on record.
2. Since the said village has already been urbanized on 16.05.2017 accordingly, case is reserved for pronouncement of orders based on the documents available on record, on 09.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 53 and 54 of 2021**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri N. S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner in both the cases.

: Shri Rajnsh Kumar Mishra, Counsel for R-3.  
(Filed Vakalatnama)

: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Respondent.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are at liberty to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, on the issue of maintainability and other issues within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed based on the documents available on record.
3. Interim orders to continue till the passing of the orders.
4. Cases are reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**



**Case No. 251 of 2014 & 97 of 2016**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners (in both the cases).  
: Shri N.S. Dalal, Counsel alongwith Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for Respondents.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Interim order to continue.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 01.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 73 of 2018**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,  
Gram Sabha, Chandanhaulā.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Since the said village Chandanhaulā is already covered by the Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) frame-work, the matter is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 09.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 23 of 2020**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,  
Gram Sabha, Hamidpur.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Respondent has not filed the reply despite notice and appearance on the last date of hearing.
2. Final opportunity is given to the Respondent to appear and lead the case on the next date of hearing.
3. On the next date of hearing, parties shall lead their final arguments, failing which, shall file their written submissions whereafter the matter shall be reserved for orders on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. Adj. to 23.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

Later on after the board, Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Respondent appeared and pleaded for marking his attendance and also filed Vakalatnama. This was noted.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 51 of 2023**

15.12.2023

Present : Shri Yashdeep Sethi, Counsel for Appellant.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The Appellant sought time to argue, filed written submissions.
2. Notice be issued to the Respondent.
3. Adj. to 23.02.2024 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 35 of 2022**

15.12.2023

Present : Mr. Irshad Khan, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. The Respondents absent despite notice and reply.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions with citations, if any, in support of their averments in the next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. The case is now reserved for pronouncement of orders on 23.02.2024.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**