

**Case No. 55 of 2019, 111 of 2020, 42 of 2010 &
93 of 2019**

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Rakesh Makhija, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 93/2019.

: Shri Divanshu Sharma along with Ram Singh, Plant Manager, Counsel for Respondent in case no. 42/2010.

: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for Respondent, Industries Department.

1. The Petitioner informed that the matter is already pending in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 06.10.2023. Accordingly, the matter is to come up on 01.12.2023.

2. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 175 of 2023

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Karan Gupta, Counsel along with Vansha S. Suneja for Petitioner.
: Shri Kuldeep Khanna, Counsel for Respondent.
: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Section Officer for R-3, Industries Department.

1. The Respondent assured to file the reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

(Bhupesh Kumar)
Chief Engineer
(SDW) NW
Delhi Jal Board
Member

(B.P. Dwivedi)
Deputy Controller of
Accounts
Member

(Chetan B. Sanghi)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi
Chairperson

Case No. 136 & 137 of 2023

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Prashant Kumar Mittal, Counsel for
Petitioners in both the cases.

: Shri Ankit Mittal, Proxy Counsel for Respondent
in both the cases.

1. Matters are restored to Board for final arguments
on the point of jurisdiction and continuation.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 108 of 2022

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Amish Ram Dabas, Counsel for Respondent,
 DCHFC.

1. Heard both the sides.
2. Respondent, DCHFC did not wish to file any reply and straightaway argued the matter.
3. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 215 of 2015

05.09.2023

Present : Shri A. K. Vig, Intern of Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Narender Sharma, Counsel for R-3 and R-4.

1. Petitioner sought time. It is seen from the records that this case was reserved for orders earlier and pending for a long time.
2. In the fitness of things, the matter is posted for final arguments in case parties are not able to explain the case on the next date of hearing, they are at liberty to file written submissions/arguments on the next date of hearing, failing which the matter shall be reserved for orders on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Adj. to 10.10.2023 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 422 of 2018

05.09.2023

Present : Shr Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for Petitioner,
G.S.
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-2.

1. Both parties sought adjournment to come prepared for leading the arguments. Request is allowed.
2. Adj. to 10.10.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 251 of 2019

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Sri Om, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vishal Singh, Counsel for R-1.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 07.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 229 of 2012

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Satender Rana, Petitioner in person.
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioner appeared in person and pleaded that his counsel could not appear. On earlier occasions, the attendance of the petitioner is intermittent and the specific query posed by the Court from 31.05.2022 is unanswered. It is clearly seen that the petitioner does not have much to say, the matter is therefore, fixed for orders on the point of Limitation.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 451 of 2018

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Sushil Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner undertook to file written submissions/arguments. Request is allowed.
2. None appeared for the Respondents.
3. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 327 of 2018

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Raghvendra Pratap, Proxy Counsel for
Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Petitioner sought time to file some more records.
Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents.
3. Adj. to 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 77 of 2006

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Sher Singh, Petitioner in person.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant, for R-3, G.S.

1. R-3, G.S. failed to file reply as undertook by the R-3 and mentioned by this Court on 28.03.2023,
2. Consequently, both the parties to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 08.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case Nos. 13 of 2015, 14 of 2015 & 29 of 2015

05.09.2023

Present : Shri J.K. Jain, Counsel for Petitioner (case no.14/2015), Counsel for R-3 (case no.13/2015) and Counsel for R-6 (case no.29/2015).
: Shri B.R. Jain, Representative of the Petitioner (case no.29/2015), Representative for R-2 (case no.13/2015) and Representative for R-4 (case no.14/2015).
: Shri Vikas Singh, Proxy Counsel for R-1 (in all the cases).
: Shri S.S. Dalal, Counsel for R-6 (13/2015), Counsel for R-7 (14/2015) and Counsel for R-9 (case no.29/2015), Gram Sabha Malik Pur Kohi @ Rangpuri.

1. Heard the parties.
2. As a part of application dated 12.11.2022, the Petitioners have submitted that R-1 has misrepresented in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi that final orders have been passed by this court on 15.03.2018 upholding the order dated 20.11.2014 of the District Magistrate, New Delhi.
3. A copy of this was provided to the Counsel for R-1 with the directions to clarify to this court on the next date of hearing as to how this has been done.
4. After this clarification, the matter shall be further heard for decisions in terms of the issue of maintainability qua urbanization.
5. Adj. to. 10.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 239 of 2014

05.09.2023

Present : Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Rohan, Proxy Counsel for C.O. (Kanjhawala)
alongwith Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Asstt.

1. Counsel for Petitioner filed application under order 22 Rule 3 of CPC for substitution of LRs of the deceased Petitioner. The same is allowed and amended memo is taken on record.
2. C.O. filed reply. Copy of the same is given to the Petitioner.
3. Adj. to. 23.11.2023 for rejoinder/arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 227 of 2022

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for
Respondent, Gram Sabha Bakoli.

1. Petitioner sought time to lead the arguments on the next date of hearing.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to. 13.10.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 168 of 2022

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Mukesh Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Pradyuman, Proxy Counsel for Impleadment Applicants.

1. Heard the parties at length.
2. The impleadment applicant to explain on the next date of hearing as to how the 1959 Notification as stated by the Petitioner herein affects the rights of the impleadment applicants. It is also material to test as to whether the 1959 Notification placing the impugned property out of the purview of the Delhi Land Reforms Act is acceptable.
3. Only after these two things are clear, then the impugned order of Tehsildar dated 15.09.2022 shall be taken up for adjudication.
4. Adj. to.12.10.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 35 of 2022

05.09.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay Sharma, Petitioner in person.
: Shri Anshul Somani, LR of R-1.

1. Both the parties sought time to lead the arguments.
Allowed.
2. Adj. to. 17.11.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**