

Case No. 176 of 2022

Anjuman CGHS Ltd. Vs.RCS

29.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner remained absent today also. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 28.03.2023 Petitioner was given final opportunity to appear.
2. It is clear that the Petitioner is not keen to continue the proceedings before this Court. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 48 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri B.K. Rawat, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Jitender Singh, AGM for R-1, Delhi State Cooperative Bank Ltd.

1. Respondent to file reply on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 106 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Akash, son of the Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner made appearance. The matter will be heard on the issue of maintainability post urbanisation.
2. Issue notice to Respondents for appearance on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 44 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Rajesh Chaudhary, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Respondent.

1. Respondent to take the copy of the petition and file reply thereafter.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 100 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioners.
: None for Respondents.

1. None for the Petitioners.
2. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear and lead the case.
3. Issue notices to the Respondents.
4. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No.431 of 2018

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Kailash Singh, Patwari for Respondent, C.O.
(Nangli Poona).

1. Respondent C.O. filed reply and copy provided to the Petitioners.
2. The matter is fixed for final arguments including on maintainability.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 30 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Kailash Singh, Patwari for Respondent, C.O.
(Nangli Poona).

1. The amendment application filed by Petitioner is allowed and amended memo of parties taken on record.
2. Reply filed by the Respondent, C.O. is taken on record and copy of the same is provided to the Petitioner.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 78 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Keshav Pandey, Proxy Counsel for
Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to clarify the issue as posed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. 12.05.2023.
2. Adj. to 05.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 95 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner,
G.S. Badusarai.
: Ms. Akshita Manocha, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Reply filed by the Respondent and copy supplied to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 01.12.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 92 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Lokeshwar Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner,
G.S. Badusarai.
: Ms. Akshita Manocha, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Reply filed by the Respondent and copy supplied to the Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 01.12.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 208 of 2015

29.09.2023

Present : Shri R. S. Verma, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri S. S. Dalal, Counsel for Respondent, G.S.

1. The Revenue Department concerned did not file the records, as directed earlier.
2. Adj. to 17.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 140 of 2021

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay Rathi, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Vijay Kumar Jain, R-1 in person.

1. The petitioner filed an application under Order 1 rule 10 r/w Section 151 CPC for impleading the subsequent purchasers namely Manish Gupta, Anuj Garg and M/s. Glints Global Developers Pvt. Ltd. and the same is allowed.
2. Issue notices to the remaining parties.
3. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 99 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay Rathi, Counsel for Petitioner.
 : Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for Respondents.
 : Shri Anil Tomar Extension Assistant, for
 Respondent, G.S.

1. Parties made appearance.
2. Respondents undertook to file reply before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 13.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 02 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Vijender Gautam alongwith Sh. Vikas Kumar,
Proxy Counsels for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Respondent absent despite notice.
2. Both the parties are at liberty to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 140 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,
G.S.
: Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Respondent undertook to file reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 08.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 141 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,
G.S.
: Shri Surender Kumar, Representative of
Respondent.

1. Parties made appearance.
2. A copy of the petition be provided by the petitioner to the respondent for filing reply before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 08.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 144 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,
G.S.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner, G.S. undertook to provide details of the LRs on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 28.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 64 of 2023

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner,
G.S.
: Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Respondent is directed to file reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 08.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 89 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri V. S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Respondent, G.S.

1. Respondent, G.S. undertook to file reply before the next date of hearing with a copy to the petitioner.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 12.12.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 27 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Anushree, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is given final opportunity to submit documents to prove that the petitioner is a tenant so that the matter can proceed. On the next date of hearing, if such documents are not forthcoming, this matter shall be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
2. Adj. to 19.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 74 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioners.
: Ms. Kanchan Shukla, Counsel for R-1, RCS.
: Shri Ashok Sharma, Applicant.

1. Heard the parties.
2. R-1, RCS to clarify as to when this Special Audit will start and end on the next date of hearing and also as to who will bear the cost.
3. Petitioner filed the written submissions with a copy given to the RCS.
4. Fixed for final arguments including clarification on the issues mentioned above.
5. Respondent may also file the copy of written submission on or before the next date of hearing.
6. As requested, copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for Petitioner.
7. Adj. to 23.11.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 198 of 2016

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Akshay Bhardwaj, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Petitioner is yet to file affidavit as directed by this Court on 02.12.2022.
3. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, within six weeks and Petitioner also to file affidavit whereafter order shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 19.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 251 of 2014 and 97 of 2016

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.

: Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for R-1 in Case No. 5251/2014 and R-2 in Case No. 97/2016.

1. Heard the Parties.
2. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. This matter is to be decided early.
4. Adj. to 17.11.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 37 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Varun Goswami, Counsel for Petitioners.
: None for Respondents

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. R-1 (Consolidation Officer) has not filed reply despite seeking time on 08.08.2023.
3. Final opportunity is given to the R-1, Consolidation Officer to file reply/final arguments.
4. Copy of this order be placed before the Deputy Commissioner concerned for information.
5. Adj. to 19.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 217 of 2022

29.09.2023

Present : Shri Dheeraj Jagwani, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Puneet Saini, Proxy Counsel for Respondents.

1. Heard the Parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, within next four weeks, whereafter order shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 28.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Pradeep Kumar Through his SPA & Ors.
Vs.
Ajay Sangwan & Ors.

29.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri V.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioners.

1. This was mentioned by Ld. Counsel for the Applicant who were the Respondents in Second Appeal No. 32/2022. The grievance of the Applicant is that the final orders of this Court on 01.09.2023 in case no. 32/2022 have not dealt with the orders as impugned dated 17.11.2016 passed by the Ld.Tehsildar. The Applicant/Respondent had prayed that these orders were also passed out of jurisdiction by the Ld.Tehsildar in view of the judgment dated 03.02.2016 wherein the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case bearing no. CS(OS) 78/2007 titled M/s. Shri Neelpadmaya Consumer Products Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Satyabir @ Satbir & Ors. had held that "***the entire area of village Goyla Khurd, Delhi is a part of the zonal plan. As long as the village Goyla Khurd is the subject matter of the zonal plan Ex.P5/1 that it is sufficient for entire area of village Goyla Khurd to be urbanized land, hence, the subject land is situated and become an area which is notified for urbanization resulting in taking the same out of rural areas which are the subject matter of the Act. Also, in my opinion, once a particular area falls within the zonal development plan issued by the DDA u/s 11 of The Delhi Development Act, thereafter calling the same by any description, whether rural or otherwise cannot take away the effect that the said land is very much part of notification issued for development of the area which is the subject matter of the zonal plan and hence, the subject matter of issuance of a notification falling in the later part of section 3 (13) of the Act taking such land as outside the operation of the Act***".

2. The orders of this court dated 01.09.2023 had set aside only orders of the Ld. Deputy Commissioner dated 22.10.2021 and had not touched upon the orders passed by the Ld. Tehsildar dated 17.10.2016. The same is also needed to be set aside and this needs to be clarified and is accordingly prayed in the review application as mentioned.
3. Records were seen. The interest of justice will be served if the following para is inserted in between para 6 & 7 of the impugned orders dated 01.09.2023:-

6 (a). As regards, the impugned orders passed by the Ld. Tehsildar dated 17.10.2016, the same orders need not be given effect to since these are covered by the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in case bearing no. CS(OS) 78/2007 titled "**M/s. Neelpadmaya Consumer Products Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Shri Satyabir @ Satbir @ Ors.**", unless the said impugned orders are specifically upheld by any court of appropriate jurisdiction.
5. It is so ordered.
6. Announced in the open court today on 29.09.2023.
7. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER
DELHI**