

**Case No. 168 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
                  : Shri Akshit Sachdeva, Counsel for R-2.  
                  : Shri Darshnik Narang, Proxy Counsel for R-3.

1. R-2 has filed the reply and copy supplied to the Petitioner.
2. The Petitioner may file rejoinder before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 05.10.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 173 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Keshav Pandey, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner filed affidavit to confirm the service of notice.
2. Respondent is absent. Issue notice as a final opportunity to the Respondent for filing of their reply.
3. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 22 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Ms. Sumita Maan, Counsel along with Shri Harinder Singh for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner seeks time. Allowed as a final opportunity.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 154 of 2023 & 173 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioners (in both the cases)  
: Shri Naveen Bhardwaj, Counsel for R-1 (in case no.173/2023) & R-2 (in case no.154/2023)

1. Petitioner absent.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 156 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Ms. Jyoti Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Petitioner is directed to explain the grounds of the review on the next date of hearing, whereafter the Respondent can be directed to file a reply etc.
2. Adj. to 13.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 164 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for Petitioner.  
: Shri P.K. Aggarwal, Counsel for R-2, DDA.

1. Copy of the petition be provided to the Respondent for filing reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 60 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri S.C. Arora, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Issue notices to the Respondents as a final opportunity.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 174 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri R.S. Tomar, Counsel along with Shri Rahul Kadiyan for Petitioner.  
: Shri Surender Kumar Kaushik, Counsel for R-3.

1. Heard the parties. Both the parties to file written submissions within a period of six weeks whereafter orders shall be passed.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 19.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 45 & 46 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Aniket, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.

: Shri Dilip Saxena, Counsel for Respondent in case no. 46/2022.

1. The Petitioner is unable to file any reply to the query posed by this Court on the last date of hearing i.e. on 08.08.2023. Accordingly, the matter is now reserved for orders on the issue of maintainability.
2. Both parties may file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their averments within a period of next four weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 14.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 53 & 54 of 2021**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri N.S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner(s).  
                  : Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for Respondent.  
                  : Shri Kuldeep Balsara, Counsel for R-3 in case no. 53/2021.  
                  : Shri S.S. Dalal, Counsel for Respondent, G.S. in both cases.  
                  : Shri Shakti Singh, Patwari, for Respondent, SDM, Punjabi Bagh.

1. The C.O. representative files the response and also copy of the proceedings in case titled as '***Uggarsain Vs. Joginder Singh & Ors.***' vide order dated 30.08.2023. Copies of the same provided to other parties.
2. R-3 files the reply, taken on record. Copy of the reply supplied to other parties also.
3. The matter is now fixed for final arguments including on maintainability post urbanisation.
4. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing
5. Adj. to 17.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 153 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Naveen Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri R. P. S. Sirohi, Counsel for R-3.  
: Shri Ram Lal, Respondent in person.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel alongwith Shri Ashish Nain, Sr. Asstt., for Respondent, RCS.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The Respondent, RCS filed the reply and copy of the same is given to the parties.
3. The petitioner highlighted the case of Shri Santosh Gandhi who as per the impugned orders had paid only Rs.4,49,779/- upto 31.03.1997. In addition, the petitioner drew the attention of the Court to the case of Neelam Bhatia who as per the impugned orders had paid only Rs.5,00,221/- upto 12.01.1996. Another case was of Shri U. K. Gupta LRs of Shri Pyare Lal who as per the orders had deposited only Rs.4,60,000/- upto 31.03.1996.
4. The short point of the Petitioner is that some of the eligible members as shown in the impugned orders failed the test of depositing qualifying amount as mentioned for Category 'A' flats in the categorical response filed by the respondent, RCS dt. 21.09.2023.
5. Since the arguments of the petitioner goes to the root of the eligibility as decided by the impugned orders, the respondent shall clarify their stand.
6. On the next date of hearing arguments can be concluded and both the parties are directed to file their written statements also so that the matter can be then reserved for orders.

7. A copy of this order be given dasti as requested by the parties for their response.
8. Adj. to 12.10.2023 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 50 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Chirag Dabas, Grandson of Petitioner.  
: Shri V. P. Rana, Counsel for Respondents.

1. Representative for Petitioner sought time on account of being medically ill. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 12.10.2023 for answering the query posed by this court earlier.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 67 of 2019**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rohit Binoja, Counsel for Respondents.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Respondents have filed their written statements. In addition to that, parties are at liberty to file any additional submissions on the query posed by this court earlier, alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 204 of 2017**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Anil Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Darshnik Narang, Counsel for R-3.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for R-1, RCS.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The petitioner drew the attention of this Court to the Section 61 and the short point made by the Petitioner is that opportunity to the person against whom complaint has been made, should have been given of being heard and that opportunity was deprived to him and hence the entire inspection is flawed. On this limited point. R-1, RCS is directed to file clarification, failing which the impugned order is likely to be set aside.
3. The copy of this order be given dasti as requested by the Counsel for R-1, RCS.
4. Adj. to 12.10.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 179 of 2013**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Sunil Ghai, Petitioner in person.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, C.O.

1. Parties made appearance.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, specifically on the issue of delay of 23 years as mentioned in the impugned orders as queried by this Court on 12.05.2023 within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 21.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 117 of 2016**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri J. K. Jain, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. The reply of the Consolidation Officer (Narela) is already on record.
3. Accordingly, on the basis of the documents available on record, case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 171 of 2018**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay Rathi, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, C.O.

1. Heard the parties. The core issue of the petitioner is that the non-implementation of the order of this court of 20.06.2003 where mention is made as per the consolidation scheme to provide the passage of two rastas. But this is not the normal practice in any consolidation scheme.
2. The Respondent, C.O. is directed to clarify the non-implementation of the order of this court of 20.06.2003 where the petitioner is agitating from 2017. The C.O. shall clarify if two rastas are a norm as per consolidation schemes. It shall also be seen as to how this matter can continue post urbanization of the said village Kanjhawla on 16.05.2017.
3. All the points mentioned above shall be heard on the next date of hearing.
4. The copy of this order be given Dasti as requested by the Counsel for Respondent, C.O.
5. Adj. to 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 168 of 2011 and 39 of 2013**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri N. S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.  
: Shri Arun Kumar Khatri, Counsel for Applicant Sh. Anil Kumar.  
: Shri Varun Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-1 to R-4.  
: Shri S. S. Dalal, Counsel for R-2, G.S. in case no. 39/2013.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The reply of the R-1 Consolidation Officer (North-West) in case no. 168/2011 is already on record
3. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/submissions alongwith citations, if any, including on the issue of maintainability post urbanization of the said village Khera Kalan on 20.11.2019 within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 167 of 2011**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri N.S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri S.S. Dalal, Proxy Counsel for R-2, Gram Sabha  
Khera Kalan.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Reply of Consolidation Officer is already on record.
3. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 06 of 2023**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri S.S. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.

: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, SDM.

1. The Counsel for Respondent made appearance. They may take a copy of the petition and file their reply before the next date of hearing, if they wish to do so.
2. Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 09 of 2013**

22.09.2023

Present : Ms. Purnima Maheshwari, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Written submissions filed by the Petitioner are already on record.
3. Now, the matter is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 12.12.2023 based on the documents available on record.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 161 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Sachin Mintri, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Yogesh Saini, Counsel for Respondents, Excise Department.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 22.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 235 of 2022**

22.09.2023

Present : Shri Vaibhav Kataria, Counsel for Petitioner  
: Shri Yogesh Saini, Counsel for Respondent, F&S  
Department. **(Vakalatnama filed)**

1. Heard the parties.
2. Both the parties are directed to file their written submissions alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. The case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 28.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**