

**Case No. 165 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Govind, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Issue notice to the Respondents for filing reply on restoration application.
2. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 171 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : Ms. Simran Brar, Counsel for Petitioner.

(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

: Shri Yogesh Saini, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Counsel for Petitioner sought time to argue the matter. Allowed.
2. Adj. to 06.10.2023 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 40 of 2022 & 17 of 2022**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner Society (Shahdara CGHS) in case no. 40/2022 & for R-3 in case no. 17/2022.  
: Shri Pankaj Kotra, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 17/2022.  
Shri Mayank Bamniyal, Counsel for R-1, DCHFC in both cases.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Issue notice to the RCS for clarifications on the issue of the impugned order having been passed without giving opportunity of having been heard to the Petitioners herein.
3. On this issue parties are at liberty to file additional documents in support of their averments.
4. Adj. to 12.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 159 of 2021**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Ajay Dabas, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rajeev Katyain, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Heard the parties. Both parties may file their written arguments/ submissions, with citations if any, in support of their averments within a period of next eight weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed.
2. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 14.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 119 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Prajwal Sharma, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Sparsh Aggarwal, Counsel for R-2.

1. R-2 filed reply and the same is taken on record.
2. Adj. to 21.11.2023 for rejoinder/ arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 329 of 2016**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Suraj Soni, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri B.D. Sharma, Counsel for impleadment applicant.

1. Counsel for impleadment applicant filed application for impleadment under order 1 Rule 10 R/w Section 151 CPC. Copy of the impleadment application be provided to the Petitioner and the impleadment matter will be heard after the reply of the R-1, Consolidation Officer is received.
2. Issue notice to Consolidation Officer for filing reply before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 21.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 157 & 158 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Ankit Tuli, Counsel for Petitioner in both the cases.

: Shri Anisul Arfin, Respondent in person in both the cases.

1. The Respondent appeared in person and copy of the petition be provided to him for filing of reply on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 55 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rakesh Munjal, Senior Counsel for Respondents.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 251 and 252 of 2012 and 113 of 2013**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Rakesh Munjal Senior Counsel alongwith Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner in cases nos. 251/2012 and 113/2013.

: Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 252/2012.  
: Ms. Vasu Singh, Counsel for Respondent, RCS in all three cases.  
: Shri Gurbajan Singh, Proxy Counsel for R-2, Society in all three cases.

1. Heard the parties.
2. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within eight weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 15.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 163 of 2011, 226 of 2019 and 17 of 2021**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Kanwar Uday Bhan Singh Sehrawat, Counsel for Petitioner in cases nos. 163/2011 and 226/2019.

: Shri Suraj Soni, Proxy Counsel for Respondent in case no. 17/2021.

: None for Respondents in all three cases.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner at length.
2. The orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 12.05.2011 is also seen as well as the replies of Consolidation Officer and STF/SDM (Najafgarh) which are already on record.
3. The prayer made by the Petitioner is that the consolidation scheme as initiated in 1971 has not been effectively concluded so far.
4. On this point, the Revenue Department concerned is directed to explain the status of the said consolidation scheme vis-a-vis the prayer of the petitioner on the next date of hearing. Accordingly, issue notice to the SDM (Najafgarh)/Settlement Officer (Mundela Khurd).
5. Adj. to 28.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 237 of 2015**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri V. K. Singh, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri R. P. Sharma, ASO for R-1, C.O.

1. Heard the parties.
2. The reply of the Consolidation Officer (Mehrauli) is already on record.
3. On the point of the rasta and the prayer made in the petition, this case shall be seen as to why it should not be remanded back to the Consolidation Officer (Mehrauli).
4. Accordingly, case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on the basis of the documents available on record on 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 96 of 2020**

21.09.2023

Present : Shri Sanjay Rathi, Counsel for Petitioners.  
: None for Respondents.

1. Heard the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. The reply of the Consolidation Officer (Alipur) is already on record.
3. Accordingly, both the parties are directed to file their written submissions/arguments alongwith citations, if any, in support of their averments within six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed on the basis of the documents available on record.
4. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 19.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 111 of 2022**

**Sumit Tiwari  
Vs.  
Consolidation Officer & Anr.**

21.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.  
: Shri Vinod Kumar, Proxy Counsel for R-2.

1. Petitioner is absent.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 04.08.2023 also, Petitioner was absent and final opportunity was given to the Petitioner to appear. Since Petitioner has been absent despite final opportunity, the matter is dismissed for non-pursuance.
3. File be consigned to the record room after completion.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 161 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.

: Shri Anil Tomar, Extension Assistant for R-1 Gram Sabha, Bakoli.

1. Petitioner is absent. Final opportunity is given to the Petitioner to appear on the next date of hearing.
2. R-1, Gram Sabha filed reply. Copy of the same be given to the Petitioner.
3. Interim Order to continue. The case is adjourned for final arguments on the short point as to whether these proceedings can continue post Low Density Residential Area (L.D.R.A.) Notification in the year 2013 of the said village Bakoli.
4. Adj. to 14.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 173 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri Naveen Bhardwaj, Ld.Counsel for R-1

5. Heard the review applicant/R-1 in reply in case no.173/2023 at length.
6. The reply to the notice dated 31.07.2023 has been filed in terms of this review application.
7. Without going into technicalities of whether it should be a review application, the prayer made by the applicant during the mention has been seen as a reply to notice in 173/2023.
8. The applicant/R-1 is aggrieved by the order dated 01.08.2023 of this court. The said order dated 01.08.2023 was seen and on the face of it, clarification has been provided by R-1 that the Ld.SDM or the Ld.District Magistrate have not passed their respective orders under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954 and have passed the orders under Delhi Land Holdings Rules, 1959. On this point as to whether this court itself had jurisdiction in passing the interim order will be heard on the next date of hearing in this case.
9. It is also submitted by the review applicant/R-1 that a related matter (case no.154/2023 titled "Dilawar Sharma Vs. SDM & Ors") wherein the orders passed by Ld.SDM was set aside, is coming up before this court on 22.09.2023. Therefore, it will be in fitness of things if this matter is also tagged with the above said case and heard.
10. Issue dasti notice for Petitioner in 173/2023.
11. Adj. to 22.09.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 231 of 2023**

21.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri M.K. Thakur, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Seen the orders of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 06.09.2023. Interim protection as given to the Petitioner herein is extended till the next date of hearing on which date the issue of jurisdiction of this court shall be heard.
3. The Petitioner is directed to clarify as to whether there were any arbitration proceedings drawn up and whether the warrants have been issued after arbitration proceedings and as part of execution proceedings under the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003.
4. Issue notice dasti to the Respondents.
5. List to 05.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**