

**Case No. 129 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Manikant Singhal, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for R-2.  
: Shri Mayaank Bamniyal, Counsel for R-3.

1. R-2 has filed reply and copy given to the Petitioner. R-1 to file reply before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 30.11.2023 for arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 128 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Anand Yadav, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for R-5.

1. Respondent allowed time to file reply.
2. Issue notice to Consolidation Officer, Kanjhawala through Deputy Commissioner.
3. Adj. to 30.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 136 of 2022**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Hardik Chaudhary, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Issue notice to Respondent RCS for appearance and reply on the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 162 of 2022**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Mohit Mathur, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.  
: Shri Vipin Sharma, Counsel for R-4.  
: Shri Jai Kumar, R-3 in person.

1. Petitioner to clarify as to how interim is being violated, if at all, on the next date of hearing.
2. Copy of the petition be provided to R-4.
3. Issue notice to the Consolidation Officer (Khera Kalan) for filing of their reply on the next date of hearing.
4. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 21 of 2020**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Parikshit Mahipal, Counsel for Petitioner,  
G.S.  
: Shri V.P.Rana, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Respondent does not wish to file any reply.
2. Adj. to 01.12.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 192 of 2022 & 56 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Rajiv Vig, Counsel for Petitioner in case no. 56/2023.  
: Shri V.P. Rana, Counsel for R-2 in both cases.

1. It is clarified that the petition pending in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has since been withdrawn and copy of the said order dated 28.04.2023 has been filed by the Respondent. Consequently, the matter can proceed here.
2. Review applicant is not present. Final opportunity is given to the review applicant to explain the matter on the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 32A of 2009**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Ankur Arora, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. The response of the Respondent which is already on record says that there is no society at the moment in terms of the Cooperative Act. Therefore, the issue is whether this matter can at all continue.
2. Issue notice for response of the RCS.
3. Adj. to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 72 of 2017**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri Sandeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Petitioner. The RCS reply is on record and there is no need for further submissions.
2. Petitioner may file written arguments/submissions, with citations if any, in support of their averments within a period of next six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 12.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 05 of 2019**

19.09.2023

Present : Shri A.K. Mishra, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: None for Respondent.

1. Heard the Petitioner. The RCS reply is on record and there is no need for further submissions.
2. Petitioner may file written arguments/submissions, with citations if any, in support of their averments within a period of next six weeks, whereafter orders shall be passed.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 12.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 146 of 2021**

**19.09.2023**

Present : Shri Rohit Kumar Modi, Counsel for Petitioner.  
: Shri Rakesh Munjal, Counsel along with Shri  
Rajiv Vig for R-2 & R-3.  
: Ms. Teena, Proxy Counsel for R-1.

1. Heard the parties. The written submission of R-2 is already on record.
2. All the arguments are complete; therefore the matter is fixed for orders based on the documents available on record.
3. Case is reserved for pronouncement of orders on 14.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 228 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri Rajesh Srivastava, Counsel for Petitioner

1. This matter was mentioned today.
2. Heard the Petitioner.
3. The impugned order dated 05.09.2023 was also seen. The Petitioner has objected to impugned order being issued without the legal requirements of Section 66 (1) & 66 (2) of the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act having been met by the Ld. RCS before passing the impugned order. The objection is being taken to an inquiry not being conducted by the Ld.RCS before the impugned order being passed in terms of said Section 66(1) & 66 (2).
4. In addition, the Petitioner also states that allegations are pertaining to the year 2016 and the same have been looked into by the competent authority i.e. RBI and a letter of the RBI dated 18.05.2017 has been filed.
5. It was also submitted that the RCS had ample time after the receipt of the CBI's report by the RCS - although no date of such a report of the CBI has been given in the impugned order or is available with the Petitioner, to meet the pre-requisites of Section 66(1) & 66 (2) as above.
6. It was also pleaded that the Petitioner was not served any notice before the impugned orders have been passed.

7. These grounds as above are vital to the further proceedings in the matter and the alleged misconduct was in the year 2016 i.e. 7 years ago. Therefore, it will be worthwhile to examine the above before allowing the Ld.RCS to proceed further.
8. Issue notice to the Respondents.
9. No coercive action be taken qua the Petitioner till the next date of hearing where the response of the Ld.RCS shall be considered.
10. As requested, copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld.Counsel for Petitioner.
11. List to 12.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 211 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Mentioned today by Ms.Neetu Sakarwal, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. Seen the deductions and scale of deductions. Request was made to list the case little earlier. Allowed.
3. The matter is now preponed to 10.10.2023 in lieu of 01.12.2023 subject to the Petitioner making efforts to have the notices served.
4. List to 10.10.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**

**Case No. 222 of 2023**

19.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri Shyam Sunder, Counsel for Petitioner.

1. Heard the Petitioner.
2. This matter was mentioned today and the impugned orders dated 10.07.2023 were objected upon by the Petitioner on the grounds that the C.O. does not have powers under the statute to deal with the Bhumidari rights which have been created vide the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954. The short point is that the Petitioner indeed appeared via consolidation proceedings in the revenue records from the year 1993-94 and suddenly in the year 2023 i.e after 19 years, he cannot be removed in such a summary manner and due process of the DLR Act should have been followed which in this case is found missing according to the Petitioner.
3. To this extent, the matter deserves to be looked into.
4. Issue notice & till the time the response from the C.O. is heard and decided by this court, no third party interest shall be created in the impugned land and no changes be made in the revenue records in pursuance of the impugned order as issued.
5. As requested, the copy of this order be given dasti to the Counsel for Petitioner.
6. List to 01.12.2023.

**Financial Commissioner  
Delhi**