

Case No. 147 & 148 of 2023

12.09.2023

Present : Shri P.K. Mishra, Counsel for Petitioner in both cases.

: Ms. Sangeeta Vazirani Counsel for Respondents in case no. 147/2023 and for R-1 & R-3 in case no. 148/2023.

(FILED VAKALATNAMA)

1. Petitioner filed proof of service of notice.
2. Respondent is directed to file reply before the next date of hearing.
3. Adj. to 28.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 234 of 2022

12.09.2023

Present : Shri Ankur Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Petitioner submitted that the notices have been served and he will file affidavit with the proof of service on the next date of hearing.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents from the Court.
3. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 400 of 2018

12.09.2023

Present : Shri Ankur Gupta, Counsel for Petitioner.
: None for Respondent.

1. Counsel for Petitioner is directed to file proof of service of notice before the next date of hearing.
2. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 177 of 2006

12.09.2023

Present : Shri V. P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Bhagwan Singh Sharma, R-2 in person.

1. None appeared for the R-1, Consolidation Officer (Khera Kalan). It appears that in this case the C.O. has not made any appearance. It is also not clear from the records whether the C.O. has been served or not in this matter since the beginning of the case.
2. Issue notice to the C.O. through the Deputy Commissioner concerned for filing reply.
3. Adj. to 23.11.2023.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 308 of 2007

12.09.2023

Present : Shri B. S. Kharb, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri V. S. Rana, Counsel for R-1 to R-16.

1. The R-1, C.O. (Village-Samaspur Khalsa) filed additional reply and copy of the same is given to the Counsel for Petitioner.
2. Adj. to 28.11.2023 for final arguments on the issue of maintainability post urbanization.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 379 of 2011

**Krishan Chander
Vs.
The Consolidation Officer & Ors.**

12.09.2023

Present : None for Petitioner.
: Shri S. S. Rana, Counsel for R-2 and R-3.

1. The directions of the court on the last date of hearing i.e. 11.07.2023 was to the petitioner for making appearance and today again petitioner remained absent. It is clearly seen from the records that the petitioner is not desirous to continue with the proceedings.
2. Accordingly the case bearing no. 379/2011 is dismissed for non-pursuance.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

Case No. 99 of 2021

12.09.2023

Present : Shri V. P. Rana, Counsel for Petitioner.
: Shri Mayank Sharma, Counsel for R-1.
: Shri V. S. Rana, Counsel for R-2.

1. Counsel for Petitioner filed written submissions/arguments and the copy of the same may be taken by the Respondents for filing their response, thereafter the oral arguments shall be heard before fixing the matter for orders including on the point of jurisdiction.
2. Adj. to 28.11.2023 for final arguments.

**Financial Commissioner
Delhi**

S.N.	Case No.	Titled
i)	215/2023	Siyaram Mittal HUF & Ors. Vs. G.S. Bakoli & Anr.
ii)	216/2023	Siyaram Mittal HUF & Ors. Vs. G.S. Bakoli & Anr.
iii)	217/2023	Rucchi Mittal HUF & Ors. Vs. G.S. Bakoli & Anr.

12.09.2023

Mentioned today by Shri Rahul Jariyal, Counsel for the Petitioners in all the cases.

1. The matter was mentioned against impugned orders dated 05.08.2022 (in case no.215/2023 & 217/2023) and order dated 05.06.2023 (in case no.216/2023) whereby the conditional orders were passed by the Revenue Assistant/SO(C), Alipur, Delhi in respect of the land bearing khasra no.44//25 (4-2) (in case no.215/2023), 44//16(4-16) (in case no.216/2023) & 45//20 (4-16), 45//21(4-16) (in case no.217/2023) situated in the revenue estate of village Bakoli, Delhi. Counsel for Petitioner drew the attention of this Court to the judgment dated 10.04.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP (C) No. 3502/2022 titled "**Rajeev Shah (deceased) through LRs Ms. Gayatri Shah V/s Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors.**" and pleaded that a direction may be given to the Ld. SDM to bear in mind the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in the case cited above before proceeding further in all three cases whose impugned orders have been referred above.
2. To this extent, there is nothing wrong in the urgent hearing and prayer made by the Petitioner. Accordingly, the Ld. SDM is directed to bear in mind the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court as cited above.

3. In view of the above observations, all three matters are disposed of accordingly.
4. Copy of the order be given Dasti as requested by the Counsel for Petitioner.
5. Files be consigned to the record room after completion.

**(CHETAN B. SANGHI)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi**