

Case No. 68 & 69/2013

08/08/2014 :

Present : Sh. Parmanand Pandey, Appellant No. 1 in person
Sh. Sunil Sabharwal, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Respondent said that petition is not maintainable. Prayer clause does not clarify that what kind of relief is being sought by the petitioner from the Court.
2. Petitioner appeared in person and he further sought the adjournment. Court observed that nothing has been adduced by the petitioner so far in the case except for filing of revision petition. There is no issue to continue with the case. Accordingly, petition is dismissed.
3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

-sd-

(D.M. SPOLIA)
Financial Commissioner,
Delhi.