

Case No.68/2008

Shri Daryao Singh Vs. Union of India & Ors.

29.05.2015

Present : Shri Jagdish on behalf of Appellant.
 : Shri Ravinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary for R-2, G.S.

1. Representative of the Appellant requests for adjournment.
2. The perusal of the record reveals that only Proxy Counsel has been appearing on behalf of petitioner and requesting for adjournment for the last two hearings, i.e. 23.09.2014 and 27.01.2015.
3. Perusal of the record also reveals that the case had earlier been dismissed for want of prosecution first on 21.11.2006 which restored on 01.05.2008 and secondly on 30.05.2014 which is also restored on that day itself on the request of the Proxy Counsel. But thereafter Proxy Counsel on behalf of Appellant and again requested for adjournment. It seems that the Appellant is not interested to pursue this case any further. Hence, the case is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. File be consigned to record room after completion.

-sd-
(Naini Jayaseelan)
Financial Commissioner,
Delhi

Case No.243/2007

Shri Kanhaiya Lal Vs. Shri Pardeep

28.04.2015

Present : None for the Appellant
 : None for Respondent

1. The perusal of the record reveal that on the following dates either no one appeared or only proxy Counsel appeared and requested for adjournment :-

22.1.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
28.3.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
04.7.2013	None appeared
24.09.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
21.01.2014	None appeared
01.07.2014	None appeared
09.09.2014	Proxy Counsel appeared
04.12.2014	None appeared

2. From the above it can be seen that since the last 08 hearings no one has appeared or only Proxy Counsel appeared on behalf of the Appellant. It appears that the Appellant is not interested to pursue this case any further. Hence, the case is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(Naini Jayaseelan)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi