

Case No.338/2012

Shri Brij Krishan Diwan & Anr. Vs. DCHFC & Ors.

12.05.2015

Present : Proxy Counsel for the Petitioners.
: Shri Sunil Sabharwal, Counsel for R-1 and R-3, DCHFC

1. Proxy Counsel requested for adjournment. The perusal of the record reveals that only Proxy Counsel or Clerk of the Counsel had appeared on behalf of Petitioners for the last 09 hearings i.e. 11.12.2012, 7.3.2013, 30.4.2013, 26.7.2013, 4.10.2013, 31.1.2014, 22.04.2014, 21.8.2014 and 11.12.2014. Even today Proxy Counsel appeared for Petitioners. It seems that the Petitioners are not interested to pursue this case any further. Hence, the case is dismissed for want of prosecution.
2. File be consigned to record room after completion.

-sd-
(Naini Jayaseelan)
Financial Commissioner,
Delhi

Case No.243/2007

Shri Kanhaiya Lal Vs. Shri Pardeep

28.04.2015

Present : None for the Appellant
 : None for Respondent

1. The perusal of the record reveal that on the following dates either no one appeared or only proxy Counsel appeared and requested for adjournment :-

22.1.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
28.3.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
04.7.2013	None appeared
24.09.2013	Proxy Counsel appeared
21.01.2014	None appeared
01.07.2014	None appeared
09.09.2014	Proxy Counsel appeared
04.12.2014	None appeared

2. From the above it can been seen that since the last 08 hearings no one has appeared or only Proxy Counsel appeared on behalf of the Appellant. It appears that the Appellant is not interested to pursue this case any further. Hence, the case is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. File be consigned to record room after completion.

(Naini Jayaseelan)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi