

**IN THE COURT OF THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER,  
DELHI**

**Case No.187/2011**

**Revision Petition under  
section 116 of Delhi  
Cooperative Societies Act,  
2003**

**In the matter of :-**

**Shri Ravinder Thaper  
S/o Late Shri Joginder Lal  
R/o B-4/13, Paschim Vihar,  
New Delhi-110063**

**....Petitioner**

(Represented by Shri  
Ankur Arora, Counsel for  
Petitioner)

**VERSUS**

- 1. Registrar, Cooperative Societies  
Old Courts Building,  
Parliament Street,  
New Delhi-110001.**
- 2. Sai Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd.  
Through its Administrator, Shri R. K. Gupta  
C-2, Sai Apartments,  
Sector -13, Rohini,  
New Delhi-110085**
- 3. The Divisional Commissioner  
Revenue Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi  
5, Shamnath Marg,  
Delhi-110054**
- 4. Sub-Divisional Magistrate  
Saraswati Vihar, District North-West  
.... Respondents**

(Represented by Shri Shyam  
Sunder, Counsel for R-1,  
RCS)

**NAINI JAYASEELAN, FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER**

Order dated 27.08.2015

1. This order shall dispose of the Revision Petition under Section 116 of the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003 against the order dt. 08.06.2011 passed by Registrar of Cooperative Societies (herein after called RCS).

2. As per the Petitioner, brief facts of the case are that a request was made by the RCS on 08.06.2011 to the Divisional Commissioner to issue an authorisation in favour of SDM, Saraswati Vihar, District North West, to enter, search or break open any premises where any records and properties of the society are kept and since any such records and to cause delivery to the Administrator.

3. Detailed arguments were heard on 18.08.2015. Counsel for Petitioner states that the Petitioner was the Secretary of the Society during the period 1993 to 1997 and on 07.12.1997, elections were conducted and a new Managing Committee has since taken charge.

4. It is also the contention of the Counsel for Petitioner that he has severed all his ties with the society after selling of his flat in the Society in 2006, and as on date, the Petitioner has no interest whatsoever in the affairs of the Society.

5. The Counsel for RCS also states that subsequent to the appointment of the Administrator, elections have been conducted in the society and the society does not have any grievance regarding the records of the society.

6. In view of the above, it appears that the revision petition has now become infructuous and the impugned order dated 08.06.2011 is, therefore, set aside. The case is accordingly disposed of.

7. Pronounced in open court on 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2015.

**(NAINI JAYASEELAN)**  
Financial Commissioner, Delhi  
27<sup>th</sup> August, 2015.