Case No.168/2014

Smt. Pushpa Devi Vs. DCHFC & Anr.

18.09.2014

Present : Sh. Rajeev Kapoor, Counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Sunil Sabharwal, Counsel for R-1.
Sh. Rakesh Makhija, Counsel for R-2.

1. Counsels for R-1 & R-2 appeared on his own. Sh. Sunil Sabharwal and Sh. Rakesh Makhija accepted notice on behalf of R-1 & R-2 respectively.
2. Sh. Rajeev Kapoor, Counsel for the petitioner filed list of documents during the course of arguments. Sh. Rakesh Makhija also filed additional documents.
3. I have heard the Counsels for both the sides at length. The Counsel for petitioner could not make a convincing case for the admission. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for R-2 has produced copy of the proceedings of the lower Court, perusal of which suggest that Assistant Collector has passed an order after giving adequate opportunity to the petitioner. Petitioner could not make any convincing arguments for allowing any interference with the execution order issued by the Assistant Collector. However, the petitioner has offered that he is willing to make payment of outstanding dues provided three months time is given to him. The petitioner is at liberty to make this offer before the Assistant Collector who should consider it as per law. The case is, therefore, disposed of with the above observation.
4. Copy of this order be given ódastiô to the petitioner as requested.
5. File be consigned to record room after completion.

-sd-
(Dharam Pal)
Financial Commissioner
Delhi